



**PUBLIC DRAFT**  
**BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN**

**November 2013**

California Department of Water Resources. 2013. *Bay Delta Conservation Plan*. Public Draft. November. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by ICF International (ICF 00343.12). Sacramento, CA.

## Acknowledgements

---

### Steering Committee Members and Alternates, 2006–2010

---

**California Natural Resources Agency**

Karen Scarborough, committee chair

Karla Nemeth, member

**California Department of Water Resources**

Mark Cowin, member

Lester Snow, member

Jerry Johns, alternate

**Bureau of Reclamation**

John Davis, member

Don Glaser, member

Federico Barajas, alternate

Susan Fry, alternate

Ann Lubas-Williams, alternate

Frank Michny, alternate

**Kern County Water Agency**

Brent Walthall, member

Larry Rodriguez, alternate

Tom Clark, alternate

**Metropolitan Water District of Southern California**

Roger Patterson, member

Randall Neudeck, alternate

**San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority**

Dan Nelson, member

Ara Azhderian, alternate

**Santa Clara Valley Water District**

Jim Fiedler, member

Walt Wadlow, member

Greg Zlotnick, member

Cindy Kao, alternate

Tracy Ligon, alternate

**Westlands Water District**

Tom Birmingham, member

Jason Peltier, alternate

**Zone 7 Water Agency**

Jill Duerig, member

Dale Myers, member

Karla Nemeth, member

Kurt Arends, alternate

**Mirant Delta, LLC**

John Chillemi, member

Ron Kino, alternate

Peter Landreth, alternate

**State Water Resources Control Board (ex officio)**

Les Grober, member

Tom Howard, member

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ex officio)**

Paul Robershotte, member

**American Rivers**

Richard Roos-Collins, member

John Cain, alternate

Steve Rotherth, alternate

**Defenders of Wildlife**

Kim Delfino, member

**Environmental Defense Fund**

Michael Bean, member

Ann Hayden, member

Spreck Rosekrans, alternate

**Natural Heritage Institute**

Greg Thomas, member

John Cain, alternate

Carson Cox, alternate

Gerald Meral, alternate

**The Nature Conservancy**

Campbell Ingram, member

Anthony Saracino, member

**The Bay Institute**

Gary Bobker, member

**California Farm Bureau Federation**

Kenny Watkins, member

**Contra Costa Water District**

Greg Gartrell, member

**Friant Water Authority**

Ron Jacobsma, member

Steve Ottemoeller, alternate

---

**Steering Committee Members and Alternates, 2006–2010 (continued)**

---

***North Delta Water Agency***

Melinda Terry, member

***California Department of Fish and Wildlife (ex officio)***

Don Koch, member

John McCamman, member

Carl Wilcox, alternate

***U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (ex officio)***

Ren Lohofener, member

Steve Thompson, member

Dan Castleberry, alternate

John Engbring, alternate

***National Marine Fisheries Service (ex officio)***

Maria Rea, member

Russ Strach, member

Ted Myers, alternate

Michael Tucker, alternate

***Delta Stewardship Council (ex officio)***

Joe Grindstaff, member

Keith Coolidge, alternate

***California Bay Delta Authority (ex officio)***

Joe Grindstaff, member

Leo Winternitz, alternate

---

**State and Federal Fish and Wildlife Agencies (2006–2013)**

---

***California Department of Fish and Wildlife***

Chuck Bonham, Director

Laura Cholodenko

Neil Clipperton

Chad Dibble

Thomas Gibson

Junko Hoshi

Jason Roberts

Carl Wilcox

***U.S. Department of the Interior***

David Hayes, Deputy Secretary

Kaylee Allen

Letty Belin

John Bezdeck

Fritz Holleman

David Nawi

Eileen Sobek

***U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service***

Ren Lohofener, Regional Administrator

Dan Castleberry

Michael Chotkowski

Steven Culberson

Jennifer Norris

Matthew Nobriga

Lori Rinek

Heather Webb

***National Marine Fisheries Service***

Will Stelle, Regional Administrator

Rod McInnis, Regional Administrator

Maria Rea

David Swank

Michael Tucker

***Bureau of Reclamation***

Michael Connor, Commissioner

Don Glaser, Regional Administrator

Federico Barajas

Lenny Grimaldo

Josh Israel

Rachel Barnes Johnson

---

**Nongovernment Organizations (2006–2013)**

---

***American Rivers***

John Cain  
Richard Roos-Collins  
Steve Rothert

***Defenders of Wildlife***

Hal Candee  
Kelly Catlett  
Kim Delfino  
Erin Lieberman

***Environmental Defense Fund***

Cynthia Kohler  
Spreck Rosekrans

***Natural Heritage Institute***

Gregg Thomas

***The Bay Institute***

Gary Bobker  
Jon Rosenfield

***Ducks Unlimited***

Jeffrey McCreary  
Aaron Will

***The Nature Conservancy***

Maurice Hall  
Susan Tatayon  
Leo Winternitz  
Jay Ziegler

***Natural Resources Defense Council***

Barry Nelson  
Ann Notthoff  
Doug Obegi  
Kate Poole

***Trout Unlimited***

Jacob Katz

***Planning and Conservation League***

Jonas Minton

***Sierra Club***

Jim Metropulos  
Kathryn Phillips

***Environmental Water Caucus***

Nick DeCroce

***Trust for Public Lands***

Erik Vink

# Bay Delta Conservation Plan

## Contents

The *Executive Summary* is published under separate cover.

Tables .....	xi
Figures .....	xxv
Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	xxx

### **Chapter 1. Introduction ..... 1-1**

1.1 Background.....	1-1
1.1.1 Policy Foundation .....	1-3
1.2 Planning Goals and Conservation Objectives.....	1-5
1.3 Regulatory Context.....	1-6
1.3.1 Regulatory Purpose .....	1-6
1.3.2 Federal Endangered Species Act .....	1-7
1.3.3 Natural Community Conservation Planning Act.....	1-10
1.3.4 California Endangered Species Act.....	1-11
1.3.5 National Environmental Policy Act.....	1-15
1.3.6 California Environmental Quality Act .....	1-15
1.3.7 Relationship to Other Federal and State Laws and Regulations .....	1-16
1.4 Scope .....	1-20
1.4.1 Geographic Scope of the BDCP.....	1-20
1.4.2 Natural Communities.....	1-21
1.4.3 Covered Species.....	1-22
1.4.4 Covered Activities and Associated Federal Actions.....	1-26
1.4.5 Permit Duration .....	1-26
1.5 Relationship to Other Plans in the Delta .....	1-27
1.5.1 The Delta Plan.....	1-27
1.5.2 East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan.....	1-28
1.5.3 San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan .....	1-29
1.5.4 South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan .....	1-29
1.5.5 Yolo Natural Heritage Program .....	1-29
1.5.6 Solano Multispecies Habitat Conservation Plan.....	1-30
1.5.7 East Alameda County Conservation Strategy .....	1-30
1.5.8 Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan.....	1-30
1.6 Overview of the Planning Process.....	1-36
1.6.1 Public Participation.....	1-36
1.6.2 Integration of Science.....	1-40
1.6.3 Organization .....	1-41
1.7 References Cited .....	1-43
1.7.1 Literature Cited.....	1-43
1.7.2 Personal Communications.....	1-44

1 **Chapter 2. Existing Ecological Conditions ..... 2-1**

2 2.1 Introduction..... 2-1

3 2.2 Historical Conditions ..... 2-1

4 2.2.1 Hydrologic and Geomorphic Conditions..... 2-1

5 2.2.2 Biological Conditions ..... 2-5

6 2.3 Existing Ecological Conditions ..... 2-6

7 2.3.1 Data Sources and Natural Community Classification ..... 2-6

8 2.3.2 Ecosystem Processes ..... 2-11

9 2.3.3 Physical Environment ..... 2-19

10 2.3.4 Natural Communities..... 2-30

11 2.4 Biological Diversity ..... 2-99

12 2.5 References Cited ..... 2-100

13 2.5.1 Literature Cited..... 2-100

14 2.5.2 Personal Communications ..... 2-124

15 **Chapter 3. Conservation Strategy ..... 3.1-1**

16 3.1 Introduction..... 3.1-1

17 3.1.1 Biological Goals and Objectives ..... 3.1-3

18 3.1.2 Conservation Measures ..... 3.1-3

19 3.1.3 Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program ..... 3.1-4

20 3.1.4 References Cited..... 3.1-6

21 3.2 Methods and Approaches Used to Develop the Conservation Strategy..... 3.2-1

22 3.2.1 Framework for the Conservation Strategy ..... 3.2-1

23 3.2.2 Identifying Conservation Zones and Restoration Opportunity Areas ..... 3.2-5

24 3.2.3 Developing the Aquatic Resources Component of the Conservation

25 Strategy..... 3.2-5

26 3.2.4 Developing the Terrestrial Resources Component of the Conservation

27 Strategy..... 3.2-13

28 3.2.5 Landscape Linkages ..... 3.2-22

29 3.2.6 References Cited..... 3.2-26

30 3.3 Biological Goals and Objectives..... 3.3-1

31 3.3.1 Role of the Biological Goals and Objectives in Plan Implementation ..... 3.3-1

32 3.3.2 Development of the Biological Goals and Objectives..... 3.3-2

33 3.3.3 Structure of the Biological Goals and Objectives ..... 3.3-4

34 3.3.4 Goal and Objective Statements ..... 3.3-5

35 3.3.5 Landscape-Scale Biological Goals and Objectives ..... 3.3-35

36 3.3.6 Natural Community Biological Goals and Objectives ..... 3.3-50

37 3.3.7 Species Biological Goals and Objectives ..... 3.3-94

38 3.3.8 References Cited..... 3.3-342

39 3.4 Conservation Measures..... 3.4-1

40 3.4.1 Conservation Measure 1 Water Facilities and Operation ..... 3.4-1

41 3.4.2 Conservation Measure 2 Yolo Bypass Fisheries Enhancement ..... 3.4-40

42 3.4.3 Conservation Measure 3 Natural Communities Protection and Restoration ..... 3.4-66

43 3.4.4 Conservation Measure 4 Tidal Natural Communities Restoration..... 3.4-116

44 3.4.5 Conservation Measure 5 Seasonally Inundated Floodplain Restoration ..... 3.4-145

45 3.4.6 Conservation Measure 6 Channel Margin Enhancement..... 3.4-155

46 3.4.7 Conservation Measure 7 Riparian Natural Community Restoration..... 3.4-162

47 3.4.8 Conservation Measure 8 Grassland Natural Community Restoration ..... 3.4-176

1 3.4.9 Conservation Measure 9 Vernal Pool and Alkali Seasonal Wetland  
 2 Complex Restoration .....3.4-184  
 3 3.4.10 Conservation Measure 10 Nontidal Marsh Restoration.....3.4-193  
 4 3.4.11 Conservation Measure 11 Natural Communities Enhancement and  
 5 Management ..... 3.4-202  
 6 3.4.12 Conservation Measure 12 Methylmercury Management.....3.4-257  
 7 3.4.13 Conservation Measure 13 Invasive Aquatic Vegetation Control.....3.4-266  
 8 3.4.14 Conservation Measure 14 Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel Dissolved  
 9 Oxygen Levels ..... 3.4-285  
 10 3.4.15 Conservation Measure 15 Localized Reduction of Predatory Fishes .....3.4-293  
 11 3.4.16 Conservation Measure 16 Nonphysical Fish Barriers .....3.4-313  
 12 3.4.17 Conservation Measure 17 Illegal Harvest Reduction .....3.4-318  
 13 3.4.18 Conservation Measure 18 Conservation Hatcheries.....3.4-322  
 14 3.4.19 Conservation Measure 19 Urban Stormwater Treatment .....3.4-326  
 15 3.4.20 Conservation Measure 20 Recreational Users Invasive Species Program.....3.4-333  
 16 3.4.21 Conservation Measure 21 Nonproject Diversions.....3.4-339  
 17 3.4.22 Conservation Measure 22 Avoidance and Minimization Measures.....3.4-345  
 18 3.4.23 Resources to Support Adaptive Management .....3.4-354  
 19 3.4.24 References Cited.....3.4-357  
 20 3.5 Important Regional Actions.....3.5-1  
 21 3.5.1 Ammonia Load Reduction .....3.5-1  
 22 3.5.2 Hatchery Genetic Management Plans .....3.5-4  
 23 3.5.3 References Cited.....3.5-5  
 24 3.6 Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program.....3.6-1  
 25 3.6.1 Regulatory Context .....3.6-4  
 26 3.6.2 Structure of the Adaptive Management Program.....3.6-4  
 27 3.6.3 Adaptive Management Process.....3.6-8  
 28 3.6.4 Monitoring and Research .....3.6-25  
 29 3.6.5 Data Management.....3.6-39  
 30 3.6.6 References Cited.....3.6-40  
 31 **Chapter 4. Covered Activities and Associated Federal Actions ..... 4-1**  
 32 4.1 Introduction.....4-1  
 33 4.1.1 History and Overview of the State Water Project and Central Valley  
 34 Project.....4-2  
 35 4.2 Covered Activities.....4-4  
 36 4.2.1 CM1 Water Facilities and Operation .....4-7  
 37 4.2.2 CM2 Yolo Bypass Fisheries Enhancement .....4-29  
 38 4.2.3 CM3 to CM11: Habitat Restoration, Enhancement, and Management  
 39 Activities ..... 4-34  
 40 4.2.4 CM12 to CM21: Other Stressors.....4-67  
 41 4.2.5 CM22 Avoidance and Minimization Measures.....4-85  
 42 4.2.6 Monitoring Activities .....4-89  
 43 4.2.7 Transfers and other Voluntary Water Market Transactions .....4-90  
 44 4.3 Federal Actions Associated with the BDCP .....4-90  
 45 4.3.1 Delta Cross Channel.....4-91  
 46 4.3.2 C. W. “Bill” Jones Pumping Plant .....4-92  
 47 4.3.3 Tracy Fish Collection Facility.....4-92  
 48 4.3.4 Central Valley Project Diversions.....4-93



1 4.3.5 Associated Maintenance Activities.....4-93

2 4.4 References Cited ..... 4-94

3 4.4.1 Literature Cited..... 4-94

4 4.4.2 Personal Communications..... 4-97

5 **Chapter 5. Effects Analysis..... 5.1-1**

6 5.1 Introduction and Summary of Conclusions ..... 5.1-1

7 5.1.1 Basis for Evaluation ..... 5.1-1

8 5.1.2 Structure of the BDCP..... 5.1-4

9 5.1.3 Regulatory Scope ..... 5.1-4

10 5.1.4 Actions Evaluated ..... 5.1-6

11 5.2 Methods ..... 5.2-1

12 5.2.1 Spatial Scope of the Analysis ..... 5.2-1

13 5.2.2 Temporal Scope of the Analysis ..... 5.2-2

14 5.2.3 Definition of the Environmental Baseline ..... 5.2-3

15 5.2.4 How Climate Change was Incorporated into the Analysis ..... 5.2-10

16 5.2.5 Model Scenarios ..... 5.2-11

17 5.2.6 Effects Analysis for Natural Communities ..... 5.2-12

18 5.2.7 Effects Analysis for Covered Fish ..... 5.2-13

19 5.2.8 Effects Analysis for Wildlife and Plants ..... 5.2-49

20 5.3 Ecosystem and Landscape Effects ..... 5.3-1

21 5.3.1 Flow ..... 5.3-2

22 5.3.2 Water Quality ..... 5.3-19

23 5.3.3 Aquatic Habitat and Foodweb ..... 5.3-30

24 5.3.4 Climate Change Adaptation..... 5.3-42

25 5.4 Effects on Natural Communities ..... 5.4-1

26 5.4.1 Tidal Perennial Aquatic..... 5.4-1

27 5.4.2 Tidal Mudflat ..... 5.4-5

28 5.4.3 Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland ..... 5.4-8

29 5.4.4 Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community..... 5.4-12

30 5.4.5 Valley/Foothill Riparian ..... 5.4-17

31 5.4.6 Nontidal Perennial Aquatic and Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent  
Wetland Natural Community ..... 5.4-22

32 5.4.7 Alkali Seasonal Wetland ..... 5.4-26

33 5.4.8 Vernal Pool Complex ..... 5.4-30

34 5.4.9 Managed Wetland ..... 5.4-35

35 5.4.10 Other Natural Seasonal Wetland..... 5.4-40

36 5.4.11 Grassland ..... 5.4-40

37 5.4.12 Inland Dune Scrub ..... 5.4-46

38 5.4.13 Cultivated Lands ..... 5.4-46

39 5.5 Effects on Covered Fish ..... 5.5-1

40 5.5.1 Delta Smelt ..... 5.5.1-1

41 5.5.2 Longfin Smelt ..... 5.5.2-1

42 5.5.3 Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River Winter-Run ESU ..... 5.5.3-1

43 5.5.4 Chinook Salmon, Central Valley Spring-Run ESU..... 5.5.4-1

44 5.5.5 Chinook Salmon, Central Valley Fall-Run/Late Fall-Run ESU ..... 5.5.5-1

45 5.5.6 Steelhead, Central Valley DPS ..... 5.5.6-1

46 5.5.7 Sacramento Splittail..... 5.5.7-1

47 5.5.8 Green Sturgeon (Southern DPS) and White Sturgeon..... 5.5.8-1

48

1 5.5.9 Pacific and River Lamprey..... 5.5.9-1

2 5.6 Effects on Covered Wildlife and Plant Species ..... 5.6-1

3 5.6.1 Riparian Brush Rabbit ..... 5.6-1

4 5.6.2 Riparian Woodrat ..... 5.6-8

5 5.6.3 Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse ..... 5.6-13

6 5.6.4 San Joaquin Kit Fox ..... 5.6-19

7 5.6.5 Suisun Shrew ..... 5.6-23

8 5.6.6 California Black Rail ..... 5.6-28

9 5.6.7 California Clapper Rail ..... 5.6-35

10 5.6.8 Greater Sandhill Crane..... 5.6-40

11 5.6.9 Least Bell’s Vireo..... 5.6-50

12 5.6.10 Suisun Song Sparrow ..... 5.6-57

13 5.6.11 Swainson’s Hawk ..... 5.6-62

14 5.6.12 Tricolored Blackbird..... 5.6-72

15 5.6.13 Western Burrowing Owl ..... 5.6-83

16 5.6.14 Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo..... 5.6-91

17 5.6.15 White-Tailed Kite ..... 5.6-98

18 5.6.16 Yellow-Breasted Chat ..... 5.6-104

19 5.6.17 Giant Garter Snake ..... 5.6-110

20 5.6.18 Western Pond Turtle ..... 5.6-119

21 5.6.19 California Red-Legged Frog..... 5.6-125

22 5.6.20 California Tiger Salamander ..... 5.6-130

23 5.6.21 Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle..... 5.6-135

24 5.6.22 Vernal Pool Crustaceans ..... 5.6-141

25 5.6.23 Brittsescale, Heartscale, and San Joaquin Spearscale..... 5.6-146

26 5.6.24 Carquinez Goldenbush ..... 5.6-152

27 5.6.25 Delta Button Celery ..... 5.6-155

28 5.6.26 Delta Mudwort and Mason’s Lilaeopsis ..... 5.6-160

29 5.6.27 Delta Tule Pea and Suisun Marsh Aster..... 5.6-166

30 5.6.28 Side-Flowering Skullcap ..... 5.6-170

31 5.6.29 Slough Thistle..... 5.6-174

32 5.6.30 Soft Bird’s-Beak and Suisun Thistle ..... 5.6-179

33 5.6.31 Vernal Pool Plants..... 5.6-183

34 5.6.32 Covered Species Tables ..... 5.6-189

35 **5.7 References ..... 5.7-1**

36 5.7.1 Literature Cited..... 5.7-1

37 5.7.2 Personal Communications..... 5.7-40

38 **Chapter 6. Plan Implementation..... 6-i**

39 6.1 Implementation Schedule ..... 6-1

40 6.1.1 Performing Implementation Actions ..... 6-2

41 6.1.2 Maintaining Rough Proportionality ..... 6-10

42 6.2 Interim Implementation Actions ..... 6-12

43 6.2.1 Calhoun Cut and Lindsey Slough Restoration..... 6-17

44 6.2.2 Lower Yolo Restoration Project ..... 6-17

45 6.2.3 Dutch Slough Tidal Restoration Project..... 6-17

46 6.2.4 McCormack-Williamson Tract Restoration..... 6-18

47 6.2.5 Grizzly Slough..... 6-18

48 6.2.6 Southport Project ..... 6-18

1 6.2.7 Meins Landing Restoration Project ..... 6-19

2 6.2.8 Hill Slough Tidal Restoration Project ..... 6-19

3 6.2.9 Tule Red Restoration Project ..... 6-19

4 6.2.10 Rush Ranch Restoration Project ..... 6-20

5 6.2.11 Prospect Island Restoration Project ..... 6-20

6 6.2.12 Chipps Island Restoration ..... 6-21

7 6.2.13 Decker Island Restoration..... 6-21

8 6.3 Planning, Compliance, and Progress Reporting ..... 6-21

9 6.3.1 Annual Work Plan and Budget..... 6-22

10 6.3.2 Annual Delta Water Operations Plan ..... 6-23

11 6.3.3 Annual Progress Report ..... 6-24

12 6.3.4 Annual Water Operations Report..... 6-26

13 6.3.5 Five-Year Comprehensive Review ..... 6-26

14 6.3.6 Five-Year Implementation Plan ..... 6-27

15 6.4 Regulatory Assurances, Changed Circumstances, and Unforeseen Circumstances..... 6-28

16 6.4.1 Regulatory Assurances ..... 6-28

17 6.4.2 Changed Circumstances ..... 6-30

18 6.4.3 Unforeseen Circumstances..... 6-45

19 6.4.4 BDCP Relationship to Significant Future Projects or Governmental

20 Regulations ..... 6-46

21 6.4.5 Applicability of Other Federal Endangered Species Act Issues to the BDCP ..... 6-47

22 6.5 Changes to the Plan or Permits ..... 6-48

23 6.5.1 Administrative Changes ..... 6-48

24 6.5.2 Minor Modifications or Revisions..... 6-49

25 6.5.3 Formal Amendment..... 6-50

26 6.5.4 Extension of Permit Duration ..... 6-51

27 6.5.5 Suspension of the Federal Permits..... 6-51

28 6.5.6 Revocation of the Federal Permits ..... 6-52

29 6.5.7 Suspension or Revocation of the State Permit..... 6-53

30 6.6 References Cited ..... 6-54

31 **Chapter 7. Implementation Structure ..... 7-1**

32 7.1 Roles and Responsibilities of Entities Involved in BDCP Implementation..... 7-2

33 7.1.1 Program Manager..... 7-2

34 7.1.2 Entities to Receive Regulatory Authorizations ..... 7-9

35 7.1.3 Authorized Entity Group..... 7-10

36 7.1.4 DWR and Reclamation: Operation of the SWP and CVP and Preparation of

37 the Annual Delta Water Operations Plan ..... 7-12

38 7.1.5 Permit Oversight Group..... 7-13

39 7.1.6 Adaptive Management Team ..... 7-15

40 7.1.7 Elevation and Review of Implementation Decisions ..... 7-16

41 7.1.8 Other Regulatory Agencies ..... 7-17

42 7.1.9 Supporting Entities ..... 7-18

43 7.1.10 Stakeholder Council..... 7-19

44 7.1.11 General Public..... 7-21

45 7.2 Implementation Office ..... 7-22

46 7.2.1 Establishing Administrative Capacity..... 7-23

47 7.2.2 Preparing Annual Work Plans and Budgets and Managing Expenditures ..... 7-23

48 7.2.3 Contracting for Services..... 7-24

1 7.2.4 Securing, Holding, and Managing Funds to Support Implementation  
 2 Actions ..... 7-24  
 3 7.2.5 Coordinating with the Authorized Entities, the Authorized Entity Group,  
 4 and Supporting Entities ..... 7-25  
 5 7.2.6 Coordinating with Regulatory Agencies ..... 7-25  
 6 7.2.7 Coordinating with the Delta Stewardship Council, Delta Science Program,  
 7 and Delta Conservancy ..... 7-25  
 8 7.2.8 Coordinating with Local Governments, Delta Protection Commission, and  
 9 Other Public Agencies..... 7-26  
 10 7.2.9 Coordinating with Flood Control Agencies ..... 7-26  
 11 7.2.10 Addressing Legal Matters ..... 7-26  
 12 7.2.11 Overseeing Plan Amendments ..... 7-27  
 13 7.2.12 Implementing NEPA and CEQA Mitigation Measures ..... 7-27  
 14 7.3 Implementation of the Conservation Strategy..... 7-27  
 15 7.3.1 Implementation of the Habitat Protection and Restoration Conservation  
 16 Measures ..... 7-28  
 17 7.3.2 Implementation of Water Operations Conservation Measures..... 7-30  
 18 7.3.3 Implementation of Other Conservation Measures ..... 7-30  
 19 7.3.4 Coordination of Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program ..... 7-31  
 20 7.3.5 Management of the Adaptive Management Program ..... 7-32  
 21 7.3.6 Implementation of Measures in Response to Changed Circumstances..... 7-32  
 22 7.4 Regulatory Compliance Related to BDCP Implementation ..... 7-33  
 23 7.4.1 Maintaining Permits and Authorizations and Obtaining Amendments ..... 7-33  
 24 7.4.2 Obtaining Additional Regulatory Authorizations..... 7-33  
 25 7.5 Public Outreach ..... 7-34  
 26 **Chapter 8. Implementation Costs and Funding Sources ..... 8-1**  
 27 8.1 Introduction..... 8-1  
 28 8.2 Cost Estimate..... 8-2  
 29 8.2.1 Scope and Purpose of the Cost Analysis..... 8-2  
 30 8.2.2 Common Assumptions for Cost Estimation ..... 8-3  
 31 8.2.3 Conservation Measure Costs ..... 8-10  
 32 8.2.4 Plan Administration Costs ..... 8-52  
 33 8.2.5 Monitoring and Research Action Costs ..... 8-54  
 34 8.2.6 Changed Circumstances Costs ..... 8-57  
 35 8.2.7 Summary of Estimated Costs..... 8-61  
 36 8.3 Funding Sources ..... 8-64  
 37 8.3.1 Scope and Purpose of Funding Sources..... 8-64  
 38 8.3.2 Summary of Funding Sources ..... 8-64  
 39 8.3.3 State Water Project ..... 8-70  
 40 8.3.4 Participating State and Federal Water Contractors ..... 8-73  
 41 8.3.5 State Funding Sources ..... 8-84  
 42 8.3.6 Federal Funding Sources..... 8-99  
 43 8.3.7 Other Funding Sources ..... 8-119  
 44 8.4 Funding Assurances..... 8-120  
 45 8.4.1 Additional Funds Needed for Restoration, Management, or Monitoring..... 8-121  
 46 8.4.2 Actions Required in the Event of a Shortfall in State or Federal Funding ..... 8-122  
 47 8.4.3 Funding for Management and Monitoring After the Permit Term ..... 8-122  
 48 8.5 References Cited ..... 8-123

1           8.5.1    Literature Cited.....8-123

2           8.5.2    Personal Communications.....8-126

3   **Chapter 9.    Alternatives to Take ..... 9-1**

4       9.1     Introduction.....9-1

5       9.1.1    Regulatory Background .....9-1

6       9.1.2    Evaluation Process.....9-2

7       9.1.3    Development of the BDCP Proposed Action .....9-3

8       9.1.4    Development of Take Alternatives.....9-8

9       9.2     Descriptions of Take Alternatives.....9-13

10      9.2.1    Take Alternative A: W Canal 15,000 cfs.....9-21

11      9.2.2    Take Alternative B: Tunnels 6,000 cfs.....9-23

12      9.2.3    Take Alternative C: Tunnels 15,000 cfs.....9-26

13      9.2.4    Take Alternative D: Tunnels 3,000 cfs .....9-27

14      9.2.5    Take Alternative E: Isolated 15,000 cfs .....9-28

15      9.2.6    Take Alternative F: Through Delta.....9-30

16      9.2.7    Take Alternative G: Less Tidal Restoration .....9-33

17      9.2.8    Take Alternative H: More Restoration.....9-33

18      9.2.9    Take Alternative I: Modified Spring Outflow .....9-34

19      9.3     Methods of Analysis .....9-35

20      9.3.1    Criteria Used to Evaluate Take Alternatives.....9-35

21      9.3.2    Level of Take and Conservation Benefit to Covered Species .....9-35

22      9.3.3    Consistency with BDCP Goals .....9-36

23      9.3.4    Practicability .....9-38

24      9.3.5    Other Environmental Consequences.....9-48

25      9.4     Level of Incidental Take and Conservation Benefit by Species Group .....9-49

26      9.4.1    Fish.....9-50

27      9.4.1    Mammals .....9-127

28      9.4.2    Birds .....9-139

29      9.4.3    Reptiles and Amphibians .....9-168

30      9.4.4    Invertebrates .....9-179

31      9.4.5    Summary of Incidental Take Analysis .....9-183

32      9.5     Assessment of Take Alternatives.....9-192

33      9.5.1    Take Alternative A: W Canal 15,000 cfs.....9-192

34      9.5.2    Take Alternative B: Tunnels 6,000 cfs.....9-201

35      9.5.3    Take Alternative C: Tunnels 15,000 cfs.....9-209

36      9.5.4    Take Alternative D: Tunnels 3,000 cfs .....9-217

37      9.5.5    Take Alternative E: Isolated 15,000 cfs .....9-226

38      9.5.6    Take Alternative F: Through Delta.....9-235

39      9.5.7    Take Alternative G: Less Tidal Restoration .....9-246

40      9.5.8    Take Alternative H: More Restoration.....9-251

41      9.5.9    Take Alternative I: Modified Spring Outflow .....9-258

42      9.6     Summary .....9-262

43      9.7     References Cited .....9-271

44      9.7.1    Literature Cited.....9-271

45      9.7.2    Personal Communications.....9-275

46   **Chapter 10.   Integration of Independent Science in BDCP Development..... 10-1**

47      10.1    Background and Regulatory Requirements.....10-1

1	10.2	Independent Science Advisory Process.....	10-2
2	10.3	Independent Science Reviews.....	10-3
3	10.3.1	Initial BDCP Independent Science Advisors.....	10-3
4	10.3.2	Independent Science Advisors for Nonaquatic Resources.....	10-5
5	10.3.3	Independent Science Advisors on Adaptive Management.....	10-6
6	10.3.4	Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan (DRERIP)	
7		Scientific Evaluation Process.....	10-7
8	10.3.5	Independent Science Input on Logic Chain Approach.....	10-9
9	10.3.6	Independent Science Advisors for Aquatic Resources.....	10-10
10	10.3.7	National Research Council Reviews of the BDCP.....	10-11
11	10.3.8	Independent Science Advisors Reviews of Conceptual Foundation,	
12		Analytical Framework, and Effects Analysis.....	10-14
13	10.4	The Continuing Role of Independent Science in the BDCP.....	10-15
14	10.5	References Cited.....	10-16
15	<b>Chapter 11.</b>	<b>List of Preparers.....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
16	<b>Chapter 12.</b>	<b>Glossary.....</b>	<b>12-1</b>

# Appendices

---

1		
2	<b>Appendix 1.A</b>	<b>Evaluation of Species Considered for Coverage</b>
3	<b>Appendix 2.A</b>	<b>Covered Species Accounts</b>
4	<b>Appendix 2.B</b>	<b>Vernal Pool Complex Mapping and Modifications to Natural Community Mapping</b>
5	<b>Appendix 2.C</b>	<b>Climate Change Implications and Assumptions</b>
6	<b>Appendix 3.A</b>	<b>Background on the Process of Developing the BDCP Conservation Measures</b>
7	<b>Appendix 3.B</b>	<b>BDCP Tidal Habitat Evolution Assessment</b>
8	<b>Appendix 3.C</b>	<b>Avoidance and Minimization Measures</b>
9	<b>Appendix 3.D</b>	<b>Monitoring and Research Actions</b>
10	<b>Appendix 3.E</b>	<b>Conservation Principles for the Riparian Brush Rabbit and Riparian Woodrat</b>
11	<b>Appendix 3.F</b>	<b>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Conservation Guidelines for the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle</b>
12		
13	<b>Appendix 3.G</b>	<b>Proposed Interim Delta Salmonid Survival Objectives</b>
14	<b>Appendix 5.A.1</b>	<b>Climate Change Implications for Natural Communities and Terrestrial Species</b>
15	<b>Appendix 5.A.2</b>	<b>Climate Change Approach and Implications for Aquatic Species</b>
16	<b>Appendix 5.B</b>	<b>Entrainment</b>
17	<b>Appendix 5.C</b>	<b>Flow, Passage, Salinity, and Turbidity</b>
18	<b>Appendix 5.D</b>	<b>Contaminants</b>
19	<b>Appendix 5.E</b>	<b>Habitat Restoration</b>
20	<b>Appendix 5.F</b>	<b>Biological Stressors on Covered Fish</b>
21	<b>Appendix 5.G</b>	<b>Fish Life Cycle Models</b>
22	<b>Appendix 5.H</b>	<b>Aquatic Construction and Maintenance Effects</b>
23	<b>Appendix 5.J</b>	<b>Effects on Natural Communities, Wildlife, and Plants</b>
24	<b>Appendix 8.A</b>	<b>Implementation Costs Supporting Materials</b>
25	<b>Appendix 9.A</b>	<b>Economic Benefits of the BDCP and Take Alternatives</b>
26	<b>Appendix 9.B</b>	<b>Take Alternative Cost Estimation</b>

# Tables

1

---

2 1-1 BDCP Steering Committee Members ..... 1-4

3 1-2 Checklist for Natural Community Conservation Planning Act Requirements ..... 1-12

4 1-3 Covered Species..... 1-24

5 1-4 Overlapping Regional Conservation Plans that Address Some BDCP Covered Species ..... 1-31

6 2-1 Spatial Data Sources ..... 2-7

7 2-2 EcoAtlas Land Use Classifications of Suisun Marsh and Equivalent Natural Community  
8 Type ..... 2-10

9 2-3 Extent of Natural Communities in the Plan Area ..... 2-31

10 2-4 Covered Species that Are Present In or Are Supported By the Natural Communities of  
11 the Plan Area ..... 2-32

12 2-5 Map Classifications in the Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community in the Plan Area ..... 2-38

13 2-6 Native and Nonnative Fish Species Found in the Plan Area ..... 2-39

14 2-7 Map Classifications in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community in the  
15 Plan Area..... 2-46

16 2-8 Map Classifications in the Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community in  
17 the Plan Area ..... 2-52

18 2-9 Map Classifications in the Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community in the Plan Area..... 2-57

19 2-10 Map Classifications in the Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community in the Plan  
20 Area..... 2-63

21 2-11 Map Classifications in the Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural  
22 Community in the Plan Area..... 2-66

23 2-12 Map Classifications in the Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community in the  
24 Plan rea ..... 2-69

25 2-13 Map Classifications in the Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community in the Plan Area ..... 2-72

26 2-14 Map Classifications in the Managed Wetland Natural Community in the Plan Area..... 2-77

27 2-15 Map Classifications in the Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Natural Community in the  
28 Plan Area..... 2-82

29 2-16 Map Classifications in the Grassland Natural Community in the Plan Area..... 2-86

30 2-17 Map Classifications in the Inland Dune Scrub Natural Community in the Plan Area ..... 2-90

31 2-18 Map Classifications in the Cultivated Lands in the Plan Area..... 2-93

32 2-19 Acreages of Cultivated Land Categories in the Plan Area ..... 2-96

33 2-20 Number of Vertebrate and Vascular Plant Species Present in the Plan Area  
34 Compared with Number in California..... 2-100

35 3.2-1 Consistency of the BDCP with Requirements of Recent Biological Opinions..... 3.2-11



1 3.2-2 Examples of Existing Conservation Lands in the Plan Area by Type..... 3.2-20

2 3.2-3 Landscape Linkages Considered for the Reserve Design..... 3.2-24

3 3.3-1 Conservation Strategy Goals and Objectives with Associated Conservation Measures ..... 3.3-6

4 3.3-2 Expected Extent of Conserved Natural Communities in Plan Area with BDCP

5 Implementation ..... 3.3-51

6 3.3-3 Habitat Functions of Natural Communities That Support Primary Habitats of Covered

7 Terrestrial Wildlife and Plant Species ..... 3.3-95

8 3.3-4 Longfin Smelt Recruitment Composite Index Derivation, and Seasonally Averaged

9 and Log-Transformed Delta Outflow Values (1980–2011)..... 3.3-122

10 3.3-5 Correlation Coefficients for Relationship between Composite Index of Longfin Smelt

11 Abundance and Delta Outflow for Various Seasonal Time Periods, and Regression

12 Statistics for the Composite Index Versus Winter and Spring Outflow Relationships ..... 3.3-124

13 3.3-6 Assigned Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Value Classes for Agricultural Crop

14 Types..... 3.3-243

15 3.3-7 Foraging Habitat Value Classes for Cultivated Lands for Swainson’s Hawk ..... 3.3-258

16 3.3-8 Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes ..... 3.3-265

17 3.3-9 Covered Vernal Pool Crustacean Species Occurrences and Level of Protection..... 3.3-307

18 3.3-10 Covered Vernal Pool Plant Species Occurrences and Level of Protection ..... 3.3-340

19 3.4.1-1 Water Operations Flow Criteria and Relationship to Assumptions in CALSIM

20 Modeling..... 3.4-18

21 3.4.1-2 Flow Criteria for North Delta Diversion Bypass Flows..... 3.4-22

22 3.4.1-3 Salvage Density Triggers for Old and Middle River Flow Adjustments January 1 to

23 June 15..... 3.4.1-3

24 3.4.1-4 Compliance and Effectiveness Monitoring Actions for CM1 ..... 3.4.1-5

25 3.4.1-5 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM1..... 3.4.1-7

26 3.4.1-6 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM1..... 3.4.1-10

27 3.4.2-1 Potential Operations Pattern for Fremont Weir Gated Channel and Other

28 Considerations ..... 3.4-31

29 3.4.2-2 Compliance Monitoring Actions under CM2 ..... 3.4.2-1

30 3.4.2-3 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM2..... 3.4.2-3

31 3.4.2-4 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM2 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4.2-4

32 3.4.3-1 Natural Community Siting and Reserve Design Requirements ..... 3.4.3-18

33 3.4.3-2 Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Values ..... 3.4.3-33

34 3.4.3-3 Swainson’s Hawk Habitat Values..... 3.4.3-34

35 3.4.3-4 Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes ..... 3.4.3-34

1 3.4.3-5 Examples of Restoration Projects Implemented in and around the Plan Area, Sorted  
2 by Primary Natural Community ..... 3.4.3-37

3 3.4.3-6 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM3 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-44

4 3.4.4-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM4 ..... 3.4-78

5 3.4.4-2 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM4 ..... 3.4-80

6 3.4.4-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM4 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-81

7 3.4.5-1 Relationship between CM5 and other Conservation Measures ..... 3.4-92

8 3.4.5-2 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM5 ..... 3.4-94

9 3.4.5-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM5 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-95

10 3.4.6-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM6 ..... 3.4-101

11 3.4.6-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM6 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-102

12 3.4.7-1 Habitat Requirements for Riparian Restoration ..... 3.4-107

13 3.4.7-2 Differences in Habitat Needs for Riparian Brush Rabbits and Riparian Woodrats ..... 3.4-110

14 3.4.7-3 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM7 ..... 3.4-114

15 3.4.7-4 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM7 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-115

16 3.4.8-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM8 ..... 3.4-124

17 3.4.8-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM8 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-125

18 3.4.9-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM9 ..... 3.4-134

19 3.4.9-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM9 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-135

20 3.4.10-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM10 ..... 3.4-141

21 3.4.10-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM10 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-142

22 3.4.11-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM11 ..... 3.4-185

23 3.4.11-2 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM11 ..... 3.4-189

24 3.4.11-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM11 and Related Monitoring Actions ..... 3.4-190

25 3.4.12-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM12 ..... 3.4-206

26 3.4.12-2 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM12 ..... 3.4-207

27 3.4.12-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM12 ..... 3.4-207

28 3.4.13-1 List of Permits Required for the Egeria Densa Control Program (2001–2005) ..... 3.4-220

29 3.4.13-2 Examples of the Types of Minimization and Avoidance Measures and Monitoring  
30 and Reporting Requirements Under Environmental Permits Required for the  
31 California Department of Boating and Waterway’s Herbiicide Programs in the Delta ..... 3.4-221

32 3.4.13-3 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM13 ..... 3.4-224

33 3.4.13-4 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM13 ..... 3.4-226

34 3.4.14-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM14 ..... 3.4-233

1 3.4.14-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM14 and Related Monitoring Actions .... 3.4-233

2 3.4.15-1 Potential Methods of Localized Reduction of Predatory Fish Populations ..... 3.4-248

3 3.4.15-2 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM15..... 3.4-252

4 3.4.15-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM15..... 3.4-254

5 3.4.16-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM16..... 3.4-258

6 3.4.16-2 Key Uncertainties and Potential Research Actions Relevant to CM16..... 3.4-259

7 3.4.16-3 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM16..... 3.4-260

8 3.4.17-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM17 ..... 3.4-262

9 3.4.17-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM17 and Related Monitoring Actions .... 3.4-263

10 3.4.18-1 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM18..... 3.4-268

11 3.4.19-1 Effectiveness Monitoring Relevant to CM19..... 3.4-272

12 3.4.19-2 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM19..... 3.4-272

13 3.4.20-1 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM20..... 3.4-280

14 3.4.21-1 Biological Goals and Objectives Addressed by CM21..... 3.4-286

15 3.4.22-1 Summary of the Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 3.4-289

16 3.6-1 Role of Adaptive Management in Relation to Other Parts of the Plan ..... 3.6-3

17 3.6-2 Bay-Delta Fish Monitoring Programs Coordinated through the Interagency Ecological  
18 Program that are Relevant to the BDCP ..... 3.6-31

19 4-1 Summary of Conservation Measures ..... 4-6

20 4-2 Specifications for North Delta Intakes and Associated Construction Footprints ..... 4-9

21 4-3 Summary of Tunnel/Pipeline Conveyance Physical Characteristics ..... 4-11

22 4-4 Extent of Natural Communities and Habitat Types Conserved over the Term of the  
23 BDCP ..... 4-35

24 4-5 Extent of Recreational Activities in the Reserve System. .... 4-65

25 4-6 Minimization and Avoidance Measures and Monitoring and Reporting Requirements  
26 for Herbicide Programs in the Delta ..... 4-73

27 4-7 Potential Methods of Localized Reduction of Predatory Fish Populations ..... 4-77

28 4-8 Summary of the Covered Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 4-85

29 5.1-1 Environmental Regulation Requirements Applicable to the BDCP (by Agency) ..... 5.2-5

30 5.2-1 Environmental Baselines for Evaluation of BDCP Net Effects ..... 5.2-4

31 5.2-2 Actions Identified under USFWS (2008) and NMFS (2009) BiOps in the Plan Area  
32 Represented in the Environmental Baseline ..... 5.2-7

33 5.2-3 Analytical Conditions of the Modeled Scenarios..... 5.2-12

34 5.2-4 Covered Activities, Associated Conservation Measures, and Appendices in which  
35 Effects on Covered Fish Species Are Evaluated ..... 5.2-14

1 5.2-5 Models Used in the Effects Analysis for Covered Fish Species..... 5.2-17

2 5.2-6 Environmental Attributes Used in the Effects Analysis ..... 5.2-31

3 5.2-7 Definitions of Certainty Scores Regarding Scientific Conclusion and BDCP Outcomes..... 5.2-36

4 5.2-8 Biological Objectives for Covered Fish Species and their Assessment in the Effects

5 Analysis ..... 5.2-37

6 5.3-1 CALSIM-Simulated Monthly Distribution of Sacramento River at Freeport Flows

7 (1922–2003) for BDCP Scenarios ..... 5.3-4

8 5.3-2 CALSIM-Simulated Monthly Distribution of North Delta Diversions near Hood (1922–

9 2003) for BDCP Scenarios ..... 5.3-8

10 5.3-3 CALSIM-Simulated Monthly Distribution of South Delta Exports (1922–2003) for

11 BDCP Scenarios ..... 5.3-12

12 5.3-4 CALSIM-Simulated Monthly Distribution of Delta Outflow (1922–2003) for BDCP

13 Scenarios..... 5.3-17

14 5.3-5 Summary of Upstream Temperature Results under the Scenarios..... 5.3-21

15 5.3-6 Summary of the Average Monthly Upstream Temperature Data at Four Key

16 Locations under the Scenarios..... 5.3-22

17 5.3-7 Potential Effects of Restoration in the Subregions under the BDCP Compared to

18 Future Conditions without the BDCP..... 5.3-25

19 5.3-8 Summary of Contaminant Conclusions ..... 5.3-27

20 5.3-9 Potential for Construction Activities to Affect Water Quality ..... 5.3-28

21 5.3-10 Depth-Averaged Phytoplankton Growth Rate and Prod-Acres under Two Scenarios:

22 Existing Conditions and Future Conditions with the BDCP..... 5.3-37

23 5.3-11 Summary of Expected Climate Change Adaptation Benefits of the BDCP ..... 5.3-43

24 5.4-1 Maximum Allowable Loss of Natural Communities ..... 5.4-51

25 5.4-2 Periodic Effects on Natural Communities..... 5.4-53

26 5.4-3 Net Effects of BDCP Implementation on Natural Communities ..... 5.4-55

27 5.5.1-1 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Delta Smelt Egg-Larvae under Three

28 Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future

29 Conditions with the BDCP..... 5.5.1-11

30 5.5.1-2 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Delta Smelt Larvae under Three

31 Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future

32 Conditions with the BDCP..... 5.5.1-12

33 5.5.1-3 Depth-Averaged Phytoplankton Growth Rate and Prod-Acres under Three Scenarios:

34 Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future Conditions

35 with the BDCP ..... 5.5.1-14

36 5.5.1-4 Average Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Habitat Index under Existing Conditions and Future

37 Scenarios and Differences between Scenarios..... 5.5.1-21

1 5.5.1-5 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Delta Smelt Juveniles under Three  
2 Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future  
3 Conditions with the BDCP..... 5.5.1-25

4 5.5.1-6 Average Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Habitat Index for Three Scenarios (Existing  
5 Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future Conditions with the  
6 BDCP [without high fall outflow: Low-Outflow Scenario]) and Differences between  
7 Scenarios..... 5.5.1-26

8 5.5.2-1 March–May Average Outflow Criteria for the High-Outflow Outcome of the  
9 Spring Outflow Decision Tree ..... 5.5.2-10

10 5.5.2-2 Estimated Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl under Three  
11 Scenarios, Based on the X2–Abundance Regression, and Change in Abundance  
12 under the BDCP High-Outflow Scenario (Compared to Existing Conditions and to  
13 Future Conditions without the BDCP) ..... 5.5.2-12

14 5.5.2-3 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Longfin Smelt Larvae under Three  
15 Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future  
16 Conditions with the BDCP..... 5.5.2-16

17 5.5.2-4 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Longfin Smelt Egg-Larvae under  
18 Three Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and  
19 Future Conditions with the BDCP ..... 5.5.2-17

20 5.5.2-5 Average Percentage of Particles Entrained at the South Delta Export Facilities after  
21 60 Days Based on Wetter and Drier Starting Distributions Representing Longfin  
22 Smelt Larvae under Three Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without  
23 the BDCP, and Future Conditions with the BDCP ..... 5.5.2-19

24 5.5.2-6 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Longfin Smelt at the  
25 SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with the BDCP  
26 (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the BDCP),  
27 Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.2-20

28 5.5.2-7 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Adult Longfin Smelt at the  
29 SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with the BDCP  
30 (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the BDCP),  
31 Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.2-20

32 5.5.3-1 Average Daily Inundated Acreage (Water Depth of 6.5 Feet or Less) in the Yolo  
33 Bypass under Three Scenarios—Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the  
34 BDCP, and Future Conditions with the BDCP—by Water-Year Type..... 5.5.3-4

35 5.5.3-2 Annual Percentage of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the  
36 Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir ..... 5.5.3-5

37 5.5.3-3 Percentage of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Migrating from Fremont Weir to  
38 Chipps Island Via the Yolo Bypass Pathway under Four Scenarios, Based on the Delta  
39 Passage Model..... 5.5.3-6

40 5.5.3-4 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Rearing (Foraging) Chinook Salmon  
41 Juveniles under Three Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the  
42 BDCP, and Future Conditions with the BDCP ..... 5.5.3-8

1 5.5.3-5 Habitat Units and Habitat Suitability Indices for Migrating Chinook Salmon Juveniles  
2 under Three Scenarios: Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP,  
3 and Future Conditions with the BDCP ..... 5.5.3-10

4 5.5.3-6 Depth-Averaged Phytoplankton Growth Rate and Prod-Acres under Three Scenarios:  
5 Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future Conditions  
6 with the BDCP ..... 5.5.3-15

7 5.5.3-7 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook  
8 Salmon at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with  
9 the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the  
10 BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.3-17

11 5.5.3-8 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of  
12 Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan Area, Based on the Delta  
13 Passage Model ..... 5.5.3-18

14 5.5.3-9 Estimated Average Monthly Flows by Water-Year Type for Sacramento River Below  
15 North Delta Diversion Facilities, Based on CALSIM II ..... 5.5.3-27

16 5.5.3-10 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon  
17 Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.3-29

18 5.5.3-11 Assumed Association between BDCP Environmental Attributes and Viable Salmonid  
19 Population Parameters ..... 5.5.3-35

20 5.5.4-1 Percentage of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Migrating from Fremont Weir to  
21 Chipps Island via the Yolo Bypass Pathway under Four Scenarios, Based on the Delta  
22 Passage Model ..... 5.5.4-4

23 5.5.4-2 Annual Percentage of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the Yolo  
24 Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir ..... 5.5.4-5

25 5.5.4-3 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook  
26 Salmon at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with  
27 the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the  
28 BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.4-10

29 5.5.4-4 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of  
30 Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan Area under Three Scenarios—  
31 Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future Conditions  
32 with the BDCP—under the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.4-11

33 5.5.4-5 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon  
34 Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.4-18

35 5.5.5-1 Annual Percentage of Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles  
36 Entrained Onto the Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of  
37 Fremont Weir ..... 5.5.5-4

38 5.5.5-2 Percentage of Sacramento River Region Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Migrating  
39 from Fremont Weir to Chipps Island via the Yolo Bypass Pathway under Four  
40 Scenarios, Based on the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-5

1 5.5.5-3 Percentage of Sacramento River Region Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Smolts  
 2 Migrating from Fremont Weir to Chipps Island via the Yolo Bypass Pathway under  
 3 Four Scenarios, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.5-6

4 5.5.5-4 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook  
 5 Salmon at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with  
 6 the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the  
 7 BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.5-10

8 5.5.5-5 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook  
 9 Salmon at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions with  
 10 the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without the  
 11 BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.5-11

12 5.5.5-6 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of  
 13 Sacramento River Region Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan Area,  
 14 Based on the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-12

15 5.5.5-7 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of  
 16 Sacramento River Region Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan  
 17 Area, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.5-13

18 5.5.5-8 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Sacramento River Region Fall-Run  
 19 Chinook Salmon Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-22

20 5.5.5-9 Proportional Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Sacramento River Region Fall-Run  
 21 Chinook Salmon Smolts ..... 5.5.5-23

22 5.5.5-10 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon  
 23 Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.5-24

24 5.5.5-11 Estimated Extent of Seasonally Inundated Floodplain in the South Delta Subregion  
 25 with Conceptual Restoration Corridors, with Extent of Floodplain Meeting Chinook  
 26 Salmon Rearing Criteria ..... 5.5.5-36

27 5.5.5-12 Potential Channel Margin Enhancement and Riparian Habitat Restoration in  
 28 Association with the Potential South Delta BDCP Floodplain Restoration Corridors in  
 29 the South Delta Subregion..... 5.5.5-36

30 5.5.5-13 Estimated San Joaquin River Region Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Adult Straying Rates to  
 31 the Sacramento River ..... 5.5.5-42

32 5.5.5-14 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Sacramento River region  
 33 Fall-Run Chinook Salmon at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future  
 34 Conditions with the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future  
 35 Conditions without the BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method ..... 5.5.5-44

36 5.5.5-15 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of San  
 37 Joaquin River Region Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan Area, Based  
 38 on the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-45

39 5.5.5-16 Estimated Percentage Salvage at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities of  
 40 Mokelumne River Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering the Plan Area, Based on  
 41 the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-46

1 5.5.5-17 Percentage of San Joaquin River Region Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Smolts Entering  
2 the Interior Delta via Old River under Three Scenarios, Based on the Delta Passage  
3 Model..... 5.5.5-48

4 5.5.5-18 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for San Joaquin River Region Fall-Run  
5 Chinook Salmon Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model ..... 5.5.5-50

6 5.5.5-19 Average Proportion of Particles Reaching Chipps Island from the San Joaquin River  
7 at Mossdale, Based on the Particle Tracking Modeling Nonlinear Regression Analysis  
8 for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon ..... 5.5.5-50

9 5.5.5-20 Percentage Through-Delta Survival Estimates for Mokelumne River Fall-Run Chinook  
10 Salmon Smolts, Based on the Delta Passage Model..... 5.5.5-52

11 5.5.5-21 Average Proportion of Particles Reaching Chipps Island from the Mokelumne River  
12 below the Cosumnes River Confluence, Based on the Particle Tracking Modeling  
13 Nonlinear Regression Analysis for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon ..... 5.5.5-52

14 5.5.6-1 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Steelhead (Sacramento  
15 River Region) at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions  
16 with the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without  
17 the BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method..... 5.5.6-7

18 5.5.6-2 Proportional Survival and Pathway Use of Acoustically Tagged Steelhead, with  
19 Sensitivity Analysis, Based on 67% Deterrence away from Georgiana Slough by a  
20 Nonphysical Barrier ..... 5.5.6-9

21 5.5.6-3 Weighted Annual Average Proportion of Particles Reaching Chipps Island after 30  
22 Days from the Sacramento River at Sutter Slough Release Location under Five  
23 Scenarios, from the Particle Tracking Modeling Nonlinear Regression Analysis  
24 Applying an Equal Weighting to March through May over the 1922–2003 CALSIM  
25 Modeling Period, Averaged by Water-Year Type ..... 5.5.6-13

26 5.5.6-4 San Joaquin River Flows as a Percentage of Water at Collinsville during the  
27 September through March Adult Steelhead Migration Period under Three Scenarios:  
28 Existing Conditions, Future Conditions without the BDCP, and Future Conditions  
29 with the BDCP ..... 5.5.6-25

30 5.5.6-5 Difference in Average Annual Entrainment Index of Juvenile Steelhead (San Joaquin  
31 River Region) at the SWP/CVP South Delta Export Facilities under Future Conditions  
32 with the BDCP (Compared to Existing Conditions and to Future Conditions without  
33 the BDCP), Based on the Salvage Density Method..... 5.5.6-26

34 5.5.6-6 Average Proportion of Particles Reaching Chipps Island from the San Joaquin River  
35 at Mossdale under Five Scenarios, Based on the Particle Tracking Modeling  
36 Nonlinear Regression Analysis for the March through May Period ..... 5.5.6-28

37 5.5.6-7 Average Proportion of Particles Reaching Chipps Island from the Mokelumne River  
38 below the Cosumnes River Confluence under Five Scenarios, Based on the Particle  
39 Tracking Modeling Nonlinear Regression Analysis for the March through May Period ... 5.5.6-29

40 5.5.7-1 Annual Average Splittail Habitat Units in Yolo Bypass for by Water-Year Type, under  
41 Two Scenarios: Existing Conditions and Future Conditions with the BDCP ..... 5.5.7-3



1 5.5.8-1 Percentage of Wet and Above Normal Water Years from CALSIM 1922–2003  
2 Modeling in Which Delta Outflows Exceed 25,000 cfs in April, May, and April–May  
3 Average, for Existing Conditions and Future Scenarios ..... 5.5.8-9

4 5.5.8-2 Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices under Existing and Future  
5 Scenarios, Based on Mean November–February Delta Outflow ..... 5.5.8-10

6 5.5.8-3 Differences in Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices (and Percentage  
7 Change) under BDCP Scenarios compared to Existing Conditions and Future  
8 Conditions without the BDCP, Based on Mean November–February Delta Outflow ..... 5.5.8-11

9 5.5.8-4 Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices for Existing Conditions and  
10 Future Scenarios, Based on Mean March–July Delta Outflow ..... 5.5.8-11

11 5.5.8-5 Differences in Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices (and Percentage  
12 Change) for Existing Conditions and Future Scenarios, Based on Mean March–July  
13 Delta Outflow ..... 5.5.8-11

14 5.5.8-6 Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices for Existing Conditions and  
15 Future Scenarios, Based on Mean March–July Sacramento River Region Delta Inflow.... 5.5.8-12

16 5.5.8-7 Differences in Mean Estimated White Sturgeon Year Class Indices (and Percentage  
17 Change) for Existing Conditions and Future Scenarios, Based on Mean March–July  
18 Sacramento River Region Delta Inflow ..... 5.5.8-12

19 5.5.8-8 Difference in Range of Average Monthly Flows in the Sacramento River at Wilkins  
20 Slough and Verona and the Feather River at Thermalito under Six Future Scenarios  
21 Compared to Existing Conditions ..... 5.5.8-18

22 5.5.8-9 Average Monthly Flows in the Sacramento River below the North Delta Diversions  
23 under Existing Conditions and Future Scenarios, and Differences between Average  
24 Monthly Flows, By Water-Year Type ..... 5.5.8-21

25 5.6-1 Maximum Allowable Habitat Loss for Covered Wildlife Species (acres) ..... 5.6-189

26 5.6-2 Maximum Allowable Habitat Loss for Covered Plant Species (acres) ..... 5.6-195

27 5.6-3 Periodic Effects on Wildlife..... 5.6-198

28 5.6-4 Periodic Effects on Plants ..... 5.6-202

29 5.6–5 Indirect Effects on Wildlife ..... 5.6-204

30 5.6-6 Indirect Effects on Plants (acres) ..... 5.6-208

31 5.6-7 Net Effects of Full BDCP Implementation on Wildlife ..... 5.6-210

32 5.6-8 Net Effects of Full BDCP Implementation on Plants ..... 5.6-215

33 5.6-9 Covered Plant Species: Extant Occurrences, Maximum Allowable Loss, and  
34 Conservation ..... 5.6-217

35 5.6-10 Total Amount of Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Lost from Covered Activities ..... 5.6-41

36 5.6-11 Total Acres of Swainson’s Hawk Habitat Permanently Affected ..... 5.6-63

37 6-1 Implementation Schedule for Water Facilities and Other Stressors Conservation  
38 Measures ..... 6-3

1 6-2 Implementation Schedule for Natural Community Protection and Restoration  
 2 Conservation Measures ..... 6-5

3 6-3 Compliance Schedule for Rough Proportionality Measurements ..... 6-13

4 6-4 Interim Implementation Actions: Restoration Projects with Potential to Contribute  
 5 to Meeting BDCP Requirements ..... 6-14

6 7-1 BDCP Governance Decision-Making ..... 7-3

7 8-1 Land Acquisition Assumed for Cost Estimate of Protection and Restoration  
 8 Conservation Measures ..... 8-5

9 8-2 Restoration Opportunity Area Land Value Assumptions..... 8-8

10 8-3 Non-ROA Delta Land Value Assumptions ..... 8-9

11 8-4 Summary of Intake and Conveyance Facility Features ..... 8-11

12 8-5 Cost Estimate for Water Facility Construction ..... 8-14

13 8-6 Cost Estimate for Yolo Bypass Fisheries Enhancement ..... 8-17

14 8-7 Land Acquisition Schedule for Reserve System ..... 8-19

15 8-8 Cost Estimate for Land Acquisition to Protect Existing Natural Communities ..... 8-20

16 8-9 Cost Estimate for Tidal Natural Communities Restoration ..... 8-22

17 8-10 Cost Estimate for Seasonally Inundated Floodplain Restoration ..... 8-24

18 8-11 Cost Estimate for Channel Margin Improvements ..... 8-26

19 8-12 Cost Estimate for Riparian Natural Community Restoration ..... 8-28

20 8-13 Cost Estimate for Grassland Natural Community Restoration ..... 8-29

21 8-14 Cost Estimate for Vernal Pool Complex Establishment ..... 8-31

22 8-15 Cost Estimate for Nontidal Freshwater Marsh Restoration ..... 8-32

23 8-16 Cost Estimate for Reserve Management and Endowment ..... 8-35

24 8-17 Cost Estimate for Methylmercury Site Characterization and Project Design Surveys ..... 8-37

25 8-18 Cost Estimate for Invasive Aquatic Vegetation Control ..... 8-38

26 8-19 Cost Estimate for Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel Dissolved Oxygen Levels..... 8-39

27 8-20 Cost Estimate for Localized Reduction of Predatory Fishes ..... 8-41

28 8-21 Cost Estimate for Nonphysical Barriers Program ..... 8-43

29 8-22 Cost Estimate for Illegal Harvest Reduction ..... 8-44

30 8-23 Cost Estimate for Conservation Hatcheries..... 8-45

31 8-24 Cost Estimate for Urban Stormwater Treatment ..... 8-46

32 8-25 Cost Estimate for Recreational Users Invasive Species Program ..... 8-48

33 8-26 Cost Estimate for Nonproject Diversions ..... 8-50

34 8-27 Cost Estimate for Avoidance and Minimization Measures ..... 8-51

1 8-28 Cost Estimate for Property Tax and Assessment Revenue Replacement..... 8-52

2 8-29 Cost Estimate for Implementation Office..... 8-54

3 8-30 Cost Estimate for Effectiveness and Compliance Monitoring ..... 8-56

4 8-31 Cost Estimate for Potential Research ..... 8-57

5 8-32 Cost Estimate for Changed Circumstances..... 8-61

6 8-33 Undiscounted Capital Outlays by Plan Implementation Phase and Element..... 8-62

7 8-34 Undiscounted O&M Outlays by Plan Implementation Phase and Element ..... 8-62

8 8-35 Present Value of Capital Outlays by Plan Implementation Phase and Element..... 8-63

9 8-36 Present Value of O&M Outlays by Plan Implementation Phase and Element ..... 8-63

10 8-37 Summary of Estimated Funding by Entity, Sources, and Plan Component..... 8-65

11 8-38 Potential Funding Source by Conservation Measure—Water Facilities and Operation,  
12 Yolo Bypass Enhancement, and Avoidance Measures ..... 8-67

13 8-39 Potential Funding Source by Conservation Measure—Habitat Protection,  
14 Restoration, and Management..... 8-68

15 8-40 Potential Funding Source by Conservation Measure—Other Aquatic Stressors ..... 8-69

16 8-41 BDCP Funding Provided by Participating State and Federal Water Contractors ..... 8-74

17 8-42 Capital Costs—Cost of Conveyance Facilities Funded by State and Federal Water  
18 Contractors ..... 8-77

19 8-43 Potential Financing Plan with Series of Four Revenue Bonds ..... 8-79

20 8-44 Costs of Large-Scale Water Projects in California, Sorted by *Per Capita* Costs ..... 8-80

21 8-45 Personal Income in California Counties Receiving Water from the State and Federal  
22 Water Projects ..... 8-82

23 8-46 Estimated Funding for 2014 Water Bond Relevant to the BDCP..... 8-85

24 8-47 Past General Obligation Water Bonds in California..... 8-86

25 8-48 Proposition 1E Funding Opportunities ..... 8-88

26 8-49 Proposition 84 Funding Opportunities ..... 8-90

27 8-50 2012 Funding for Interagency Ecological Special Studies Projects, by Funding Source ..... 8-91

28 8-51 Delta Science Program Historical Funding Opportunities ..... 8-93

29 8-52 Ecosystem Restoration Program 2010–2011 Funding ..... 8-97

30 8-53 Appropriation History of the CVPIA Restoration Fund from the Annual Energy and  
31 Water Appropriations Bill..... 8-101

32 8-54 Summary of CVPIA Restoration Funding Potentially Relevant to Conservation  
33 Measures ..... 8-102

34 8-55 Potential Funding from California Bay-Delta Restoration Appropriations, by Federal  
35 Agency and Associated Plan Component ..... 8-104

1 8-56 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Islands and Levees Program Appropriations ..... 8-108

2 8-57 NMFS Office of Habitat Conservation Funding Allocations ..... 8-109

3 8-58 Federal Funding Share for Large-Scale Habitat Restoration Programs ..... 8-110

4 8-59 USFWS Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Grant Programs ..... 8-112

5 9-1 Take Alternatives Evaluated ..... 9-9

6 9-2 EIR/EIS Alternatives Not Used as Basis for Take Alternatives ..... 9-10

7 9-3 Take Alternatives Overview ..... 9-14

8 9-4 Water Conveyance Facilities Components of Each Take Alternative ..... 9-17

9 9-5 Primary Differences in Take Alternatives, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-18

10 9-6 Permanent Effects by Natural Community and Take Alternative Relative to the BDCP  
11 Proposed Action ..... 9-19

12 9-7 Summary of Change in Take Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-20

13 9-8 Differences in Consistency of Take Alternatives with BDCP Goals Compared with the  
14 BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-38

15 9-9 Other Environmental Consequences that Vary Between Take Alternatives ..... 9-48

16 9-10 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Delta Smelt and Conservation Benefits by  
17 Take Alternative, Ranked Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-51

18 9-11 Number of Barge Landings and Habitat Affected by Construction Activities by Take  
19 Alternatives ..... 9-54

20 9-12 Intake Numbers, Intake Capacity, and Total Screen Length by Take Alternatives ..... 9-58

21 9-13 Mean Annual Project Water Deliveries in Million Acre-Feet and Total Delta Outflow  
22 by Take Alternatives at Early Long-Term ..... 9-64

23 9-14 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Longfin Smelt and Conservation Benefits by  
24 Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-66

25 9-15 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook  
26 Salmon and Conservation Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP  
27 Proposed Action ..... 9-74

28 9-16 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Sacramento River Spring-Run Chinook  
29 Salmon and Conservation Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP  
30 Proposed Action ..... 9-81

31 9-17 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Central Valley Fall- and Late Fall–Run  
32 Chinook Salmon and Conservation Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the  
33 BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-90

34 9-18 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Central Valley Steelhead and Conservation  
35 Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-98

36 9-19 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Sacramento Splittail and Conservation  
37 Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-104

1 9-20 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of White and Green Sturgeon and Conservation  
 2 Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-112

3 9-21 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Pacific and River Lamprey and Conservation  
 4 Benefits by Take Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-121

5 9-22 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Mammals and Conservation Benefits by  
 6 Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-128

7 9-23 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Birds and Conservation Benefits by Take  
 8 Alternative, Relative to BDCP Proposed Action..... 9-139

9 9-24 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Reptiles and Amphibians and Conservation  
 10 Benefits by Alternative, Relative to BDCP Proposed Action..... 9-169

11 9-25 Expected Changes in Incidental Take of Invertebrates and Conservation Benefits by  
 12 Alternative, Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-179

13 9-26 Take Alternatives Summary: Fish ..... 9-184

14 9-27 Take Alternatives Summary: Mammals..... 9-188

15 9-28 Take Alternatives Summary: Birds..... 9-189

16 9-29 Take Alternatives Summary: Reptiles and Amphibians..... 9-190

17 9-30 Take Alternatives Summary: Invertebrates ..... 9-191

18 9-31 Summary of Take Assessment Relative to the BDCP Proposed Action ..... 9-268

19 9-32 Summary of State and Federal Water Contractor Economic Benefits and Cost  
 20 Relative to the Existing Conveyance High-Outflow Scenario ..... 9-269

21 9-33 Summary of Take Alternative Assessment ..... 9-270

# Figures

---

1

## 2 **Figures at end of section unless a page number is noted below**

3	1-1	Plan Area Location
4	1-2	Plan Area in Relation to Neighboring Conservation Plan Boundaries
5	2-1a	Land Cover Change in the Delta between the Early 1800s and Early 2000s
6	2-1b	Land Cover Change in the Delta between the Early 1800s and Early 2000s
7	2-2	Ecosystem Processes in the Delta
8	2-3	Geology of the Plan Area
9	2-4	Soil Types of the Plan Area
10	2-5	Bathymetry and Elevation Data
11	2-6	Major California Waterways Influencing the Plan Area
12	2-7	Example Delta Water Balance for 1998 Water Year, a Wet Water Year—All Inflow
13		and Outflow Values Represent Unimpaired Flows
14	2-8	Example Delta Water Balance for 2000 Water Year, an Above Normal Water Year—
15		All Inflow and Outflow Values Represent Unimpaired Flows
16	2-9	Example Delta Water Balance for 2001 Water Year, a Dry Water Year—All Inflow
17		and Outflow Values Represent Unimpaired Flows
18	2-10	Average Monthly Flow Rates in San Joaquin, Mokelumne and Cosumnes, and
19		Sacramento Rivers by Water Year Type between 1956–2006
20	2-11	Yolo Bypass Intakes and Effluents
21	2-12	Water Facilities in the Plan Area
22	2-13	Infrastructure in the Plan Area
23	2-14	Distribution of Natural Communities and Urban Land Cover in the Plan Area
24	2-15	Generalized Schematic of Valley/Foothill Riparian, Tidal Freshwater Emergent
25		Wetland, and Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Communities
26	2-16	Distribution of Cultivated Land Subtypes in the Plan Area
27	3.2-1	Relationship of Goals and Objectives to Elements of the BDCP
28	3.2-2	Conservation Zones and Restoration Opportunity Areas
29	3.2-3	Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community
30	3.2-4	Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community
31	3.2-5	Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community
32	3.2-6	Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community
33	3.2-7	Nontidal Perennial Aquatic and Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland
34		Natural Communities

1	3.2-8	Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community
2	3.2-9	Vernal Pools Natural Community
3	3.2-10	Managed Wetlands Natural Community
4	3.2-11	Grasslands Natural Community
5	3.2-12	Cultivated Lands
6	3.2-13	Process for Establishing Conservation Targets for Natural Communities and Covered
7		Species and Species-Specific Conservation Measures
8	3.2-14	Existing Conservation Lands
9	3.2-15	Decision Matrix for Assigning Protection Status Categories to Existing Conservation
10		Lands
11	3.3-1	Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Indices for Delta Smelt, 1967–2011
12	3.3-2	Annual Abundance Indices of Longfin Smelt from 1967 to 2011 in the Bay-Delta
13		Estuary
14	3.3-3	Estimated Historical Spawner Escapement of Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook
15		Salmon (1970–2009)
16	3.3-4	Estimated Historical Spawner Escapement of Central Valley Fall-Run Chinook Salmon
17		(1952–2009)
18	3.3-5	Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Indices for Sacramento Splittail (1967–2011)
19	3.3-6	Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge and Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat
20	3.4-1	Yolo Bypass Fisheries Enhancement
21	3.4-2	Conceptual Design for Experimental Sturgeon Ramp
22	3.4-3	Concept for a Facility to Prevent Fish Stranding in the Western Length of Fremont
23		Weir
24	3.4-4	Concept for Substantially Improving the Existing Fremont Weir Fish Ladder
25	3.4-5	Concept for Providing Multistage, Multispecies Fish Passage at Fremont Weir
26	3.4-6	Conservation Zone 1
27	3.4-7	Conservation Zone 2
28	3.4-8	Conservation Zone 3
29	3.4-9	Conservation Zone 4
30	3.4-10	Conservation Zone 5
31	3.4-11	Conservation Zone 6
32	3.4-12	Conservation Zone 7
33	3.4-13	Conservation Zone 8
34	3.4-14	Conservation Zone 9
35	3.4-15	Conservation Zone 10

1	3.4-16	Conservation Zone 11
2	3.4-17	Conceptual Design for Restored Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural
3		Communities
4	3.4-18	Conceptual Design for Restored Brackish Tidal Natural Communities (Suisun Marsh
5		Restoration Opportunity Area)
6	3.4-19	Conceptual Design for Restored Seasonally Inundated Floodplain
7	3.4-20	South Delta Conceptual Corridors (For Planning Purposes Only)
8	3.4-21	Cross-Section of Example Channel Margin Enhancements
9	3.4-22	Principal Migration Routes of Rearing and Outmigrating Habitat for Juvenile
10		Salmonids through the Plan Area
11	3.4-23	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in Cache Sough Restoration Opportunity Area
12	3.4-24	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in Cosumnes-Mokelumne Restoration Opportunity
13		Area
14	3.4-25	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in South Delta Restoration Opportunity Area
15	3.4-26	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in Suisun Marsh Restoration Opportunity Area
16	3.4-27	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in West Delta Restoration Opportunity Area
17	3.4-28	Examples of Delta Areas with Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Infestations
18	3.4-29	Projected Changes in Delta-Wide Extent of Egeria under Low and High Treatment
19		Amounts and Two Different Projected Rates of Egeria Increase
20	3.4-30	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel, Aeration Facility, and Water Quality Monitoring
21		Stations
22	3.4-31	Schematic of the Aeration Facility
23	3.4-32	Predation Hotspots in the Plan Area
24	3.4-33	Schematic of Nonphysical Fish Barrier
25	3.4-34	Potential Locations of Nonphysical Fish Barriers
26	3.4-35	Unscreened Nonproject Diversions in the Plan Area
27	3.6-1	Steps in the Adaptive Management Process
28	4-1	Major Components of the State Water Project and Central Valley Project
29	4-2	Schematic Diagram of the Proposed North Delta Intake and Conveyance Facilities
30	4-3	Locations of the Proposed North Delta Intake and Conveyance Facilities
31	4-4	Conceptual Intake Structure
32	4-5	Cache Slough Restoration Opportunity Area with Intake Structures
33	5.2-1	Study Area
34	5.2-2	Plan Area, Geographic Subregions, and Restoration Opportunity Areas
35	5.2-3	Relationship between Environmental Models and Their Major Outputs



1 5.2-4 Relationship between Biological Models Used to Evaluate Entrainment and  
2 Environmental Models

3 5.2-5 Conceptual Model of the Effects Analysis

4 5.2-6 General Procedure for Integration of BDCP Effects on Covered Fish Species

5 5.2-7 Illustrative Example of the Components of BDCP Conceptual Models

6 5.2-8 Process for Calculating Extent of Covered Activity and Conservation Action Footprint  
7 Effects on Natural Communities and Covered Species Habitats

8 5.3-1 BDCP Conceptual Model for Flow in the Plan Area

9 5.3-2 BDCP Conceptual Model for Salinity in the Plan Area

10 5.3-3 BDCP Conceptual Model for Temperature in the Plan Area

11 5.3-4 BDCP Conceptual Model for Dissolved Oxygen in the Plan Area

12 5.3-5 BDCP Conceptual Model for Sediment and Turbidity in the Plan Area

13 5.3-6 BDCP Conceptual Model for Contaminants in the Plan Area

14 5.3-7 BDCP Conceptual Model for Food in the Plan Area

15 5.3-8 BDCP Conceptual Model for Habitat in the Plan Area

16 5.4-1 Tidal Natural Communities Restoration versus Permanent Impacts

17 5.4-2 Size Distribution of Affected Riparian Forest and Scrub Polygons

18 5.4-3 Cumulative Riparian Restoration and Protection versus Cumulative Permanent  
19 Removal

20 5.4-4 Nontidal Marsh Natural Community Restoration and Protection versus Permanent  
21 Impacts

22 5.4-5 Alkali Seasonal Wetland Natural Community Restoration and Protection versus  
23 Permanent Impacts

24 5.4-6 Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Restoration and Protection versus  
25 Permanent Impacts

26 5.4-7 Grassland Natural Community Restoration and Protection versus Permanent  
27 Impacts

28 5.5.1-1 Conceptual Diagrams Contrasting the Historical (Pre-2000) Model of Delta Smelt  
29 Management with the Simplest Plausible Model for the DRERIP ..... 5.5.1-3

30 5.5.1-2 Delta Smelt Species Model ..... 5.5.1-4

31 5.5.1-3 Basic Conceptual Model of Pelagic Organism Decline ..... 5.5.1-5

32 5.5.1-4 Conceptual Model of Pelagic Organism Decline Based on Ecological Stoichiometry ..... 5.5.1-6

33 5.5.1-5 Effect of the Covered Activities on Delta Smelt ..... 5.5.1-39

34 5.5.2-1 Conceptual Model for Longfin Smelt Egg to Juvenile Life Stage ..... 5.5.2-3

35 5.5.2-2 Conceptual Model for Longfin Smelt Juveniles to Adult Life Stage ..... 5.5.2-4

36 5.5.2-3 Conceptual Model for Longfin Smelt Adult to Egg Life Stage ..... 5.5.2-5

1 5.5.2-4 Longfin Smelt Species Model ..... 5.5.2-7

2 5.5.2-5 Effect of the Covered Activities on Longfin Smelt ..... 5.5.2-29

3 5.5.3-1 Qualitative Evaluation of the Effects of the BDCP on the Viable Salmonid Population

4 Parameter of Abundance (Capacity) ..... 5.5.3-38

5 5.5.3-2 Qualitative Evaluation of the Effects of the BDCP on the Viable Salmonid Population

6 Parameter of Productivity ..... 5.5.3-40

7 5.5.3-3 Qualitative Evaluation of the Effects of the BDCP on the Viable Salmonid Population

8 Parameter of Life-History Diversity ..... 5.5.3-42

9 5.5.3-4 Effect of the Covered Activities on Winter-Run Chinook Salmon ..... 5.5.3-45

10 5.5.4-1 Effect of the Covered Activities on Spring-Run Chinook Salmon ..... 5.5.4-25

11 5.5.5-1 Effect of the Covered Activities on Sacramento River Region Fall-Run Chinook

12 Salmon ..... 5.5.5-31

13 5.5.5-2 Effect of the Covered Activities on Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon ..... 5.5.5-33

14 5.5.5-3 Effect of the Covered Activities on San Joaquin River Region Fall-Run Chinook

15 Salmon ..... 5.5.5-27

16 5.5.6-1 Effect of the Covered Activities on Sacramento River Region Steelhead..... 5.5.6-19

17 5.5.6-2 Effect of the Covered Activities on San Joaquin River Region Steelhead..... 5.5.6-33

18 5.5.7-1 Effect of the Covered Activities on Sacramento Splittail..... 5.5.7-25

19 5.5.8-1 Effect of the Covered Activities on Green Sturgeon..... 5.5.8-27

20 5.5.8-2 Effect of the Covered Activities on White Sturgeon..... 5.5.8-29

21 5.6-1 Covered Activities Resulting in Permanent Effects

22 6-1 Acres of Natural Communities Protected and Restored Over Permit Term

23 6-2 Early Implementation Actions

24 8-1 Estimated Capital Costs on 5-Year Increments

25 8-2 Estimated Operational Costs on 5-Year Increments

26 9-1 West Canal Alignment

27 9-2 Take Alterantive A Conveyance Schematic

28 9-3 Take Alterantive B Conveyance Schematic

29 9-4 Take Alterantive C Conveyance Schematic

30 9-5 Take Alterantive D Conveyance Schematic

31 9-6 Take Alternative E Conveyaynce Schematic

32 9-7 Take Alterantive F Alignment

33 9-8 Take Alternative F Fish Movement Corridor Schematic

34 9-9 Take Alternative F Water Supply Corridor Schematic

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

---

°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
Aeration Facility	Stockton DWSC DWR Aeration Facility
af	acre-feet
AFRP	Anadromous Fish Restoration Program
AKART	all known, available, and reasonable technology
AMM	avoidance and minimization measure
BA	biological assessment
BACI	before-after/control-impact
Banks	Harvey O. Banks
Basin Plan	water quality control plan
Bay-Delta Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento– San Joaquin Delta Estuary
BDCP, the Plan	Bay-Delta Conservation Plan
BiOp	biological opinion
BMP	best management practice
BOD	biological oxygen demand
Cal Fire	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CALFED	California Bay-Delta Authority
Cal-IPC	California Invasive Plant Council
Cal-OSHA	California Division of Occupational Health and Safety
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CDFA	California Department of Food and Agriculture
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Central Valley Water Board	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CER	Conceptual Engineering Report
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CM	Conservation Measure
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
Council	Delta Stewardship Council

CS5	Combined Scenario 5
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPA	Central Valley Project Act
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
CWA	Clean Water Act
D-1641	State Water Resources Control Board water right Decision 1641
DBEEP	Delta-Bay Enhanced Enforcement Program
DBW	California Department of Boating and Waterways
Delta	Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta
Delta Conservancy	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Conservancy
Delta Native Fish Recovery Plan	<i>Recovery Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Native Fishes</i>
Delta Reform Act	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Reform Act
DHCCP	Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
DO	dissolved oxygen
DPM	Delta Passage Model
DPS	distinct population segment
Draft Tidal Marsh Recovery Plan	<i>Draft Recovery Plan for Tidal Marsh Ecosystems of Northern and Central California</i>
DRERIP	Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan
DSM	Delta Simulation Model
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
DWSC	Deep Water Ship Channel
EBC	existing biological conditions
EDCP	Egeria Densa Control Program
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIR	environmental impact report
EIS	environmental impact statement
ELT	early long-term
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERP	Ecosystem Restoration Program
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESO	evaluated starting operations
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
FAV	floating aquatic vegetation
FCCL	Fish Conservation and Culture Laboratory
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
Final Tidal Marsh Recovery Plan	<i>Final Recovery Plan for Tidal Marsh Ecosystems of Northern and Central California</i>
Fish & Game Code	California Fish and Game Code
fish and wildlife agencies	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife

FLaSH Studies	Fall Low Salinity Habitat Studies
FMWT	fall midwater trawl
fps	feet per second
FR	Federal Register
GIS	geographic information system
GPS	global positioning system
HCP	habitat conservation plan
HCP Handbook	<i>Habitat Conservation Planning and Incidental Take Permit Processing Handbook</i>
HGMP	hatchery and genetic management plan
HORB	Head of Old River Barrier
HOS	high-outflow scenario
HSI	habitat suitability index
HU	habitat unit
I/E ratio	inflow/export ratio
IAV	invasive aquatic vegetation
IEP	Interagency Ecological Program
IOS	Interactive Object-Oriented Salmon Simulation
Jones	C. W. "Bill" Jones
JPOD	Joint Points of Diversion
kV	kilovolt
LICD	low-intensity chemical dosing
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LLT	late long-term
LOS	low-outflow scenario
LSZ	low-salinity zone
MAF	million acre-feet
Mercury Basin Plan Amendments	<i>Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of Methylmercury and Total Mercury in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary</i>
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mgd	million gallons per day
MHHW	mean higher high water
MIST	minimum impact suppression tactics
MLLW	mean lower low water
mm	millimeters
MMU	minimum mapping units
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MPTO	Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Option
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm and Sewer System
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
NA	not available/applicable

NAIP	National Agriculture Imagery Program
NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
NCCP	natural community conservation plan
NCCPA	Natural Community Conservation Planning Act
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NOD	Notice of Decision
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NPPA	Native Plant Protection Act
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
O&M	operations and maintenance
OBAN	Oncorhynchus Bayesian Analysis
OCAP	Operational Criteria and Plan
OMR	Old and Middle River
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Plan	Bay Delta Conservation Plan
PM10	particulate matter of 10 microns in diameter or less
POD	pelagic organism decline
ppt	parts per thousand
PRC	Public Resources Code
psu	practical salinity unit
PTM	Particle Tracking Model
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
Reclamation	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
RMA	Resource Management Associates
ROA	restoration opportunity area
ROD	Record of Decision
ROG	reactive organic gas
RPA	reasonable and prudent alternative
RTO	real-time operations
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SacEFT	Sacramento Ecological Flows Tool
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
San Joaquin County MSHCP	San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SAV	submerged aquatic vegetation

SDBSIM	Supply – Demand Balance Simulation Model
SFBAAB	San Francisco Area Air Basin
SFCWA	State and Federal Contractors Water Agency
Skinner Fish Facility	John E. Skinner Delta Fish Protective Facility
Solano County HCP	Solano County Multispecies Habitat Conservation Plan
SR	State Route
SRWQM	Sacramento River Water Quality Model
State Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
SVAB	Sacramento Valley Air Basin
SWAP	Statewide Agricultural Production
SWP	State Water Project
TAF	thousand acre-feet
TMDL	total maximum daily load
UC	University of California
UC Davis	University of California, Davis
Upland Species Recovery Plan	Recovery Plan for Upland Species of the San Joaquin Valley, California
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USDA-ARS	U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agriculture Research Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VAMP	Vernalis Adaptive Management Program
Vernal Pool Recovery Plan	Recovery Plan for Vernal Pool Ecosystems of California and Southern Oregon
VPA	viable population attribute
VSP	viable salmonid population
WHCP	Water Hyacinth Control Program
WHIPPET	Weed Heuristics: Invasive Population Prioritization for Eradication Tool
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant
YBFEP	Yolo Bypass Fisheries Enhancement Plan
YOY	young-of-the-year
YSAQMD	Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District