
FOREWORD

This is the twenty-second annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section.

It documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Delta Modeling Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled by Michael Mierzwa under the direction of Paul Hutton, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

On-line versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

<http://modeling.water.ca.gov/branch/reports.html>

For more information contact:

Paul Hutton
hutton@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-6501

-or-

Michael Mierzwa
mmierzwa@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-9794

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	DSM2 CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Choice of Model Grid	2-1
2.3	DSM2-HYDRO Calibration	2-2
2.4	DSM2-QUAL Calibration	2-3
2.5	DSM2 Validation	2-5
2.6	General Comments on DSM2 Calibration / Validation	2-6
2.7	Conclusion.....	2-7
2.8	References.....	2-7
3	SIMULATION OF HISTORICAL DOC AND UVA CONDITIONS IN THE DELTA	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Study Assumptions	3-1
3.2.1	Model Version	3-1
3.2.2	Simulation Constituents and Period.....	3-1
3.2.3	Hydrodynamics, Hydrology, and Operations	3-2
3.2.4	Boundary Water Quality	3-2
3.2.5	Delta Islands Diversions and Returns.....	3-2
3.3	Validation Results.....	3-3
3.4	Future Directions	3-21
3.5	References.....	3-21
4	VALIDATION OF DISPERSION USING THE PARTICLE TRACKING MODEL IN THE SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	PTM Model	4-4
4.2.1	PTM Introduction.....	4-4
4.2.2	PTM Theory	4-4
4.2.3	Longitudinal Dispersion.....	4-5
4.3	Data.....	4-8
4.3.1	ADCP	4-8
4.3.2	Tracer	4-11
4.4	Modeling Results	4-15
4.4.1	Profile Comparisons.....	4-15
4.4.2	Longitudinal Dispersion.....	4-18
4.5	DSM2 Results	4-23
4.5.1	Hydrodynamics	4-23
4.5.2	PTM – Tracer Comparisons.....	4-26
4.5.3	No Dispersion.....	4-29
4.5.4	No Vertical Shear	4-30
4.5.5	No Transverse Shear	4-31
4.6	Conclusions.....	4-32
4.7	Future Directions	4-33

4.8	References.....	4-34
5	DSM2 SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BOUNDARY EXTENSION	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Description	5-1
5.3	Model Geometry Development	5-2
5.4	Geometry Refinement.....	5-4
5.5	Historical Study Development	5-6
5.5.1	Development of Boundary Conditions	5-6
5.5.2	Calibration Procedure	5-15
5.5.3	Pre-Calibration Results	5-16
5.5.4	Current Calibration Results	5-24
5.5.5	Discussion	5-30
5.5.6	Conclusions.....	5-31
5.6	Future Directions	5-31
5.7	References.....	5-32
6	DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND TEMPERATURE MODELING USING DSM2	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Model Input	6-1
6.3	Calibration	6-3
6.4	Validation	6-6
6.5	Conclusions.....	6-10
6.6	References.....	6-10
7	INTEGRATION OF CALSIM AND ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS MODELS FOR SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA FLOW-SALINITY RELATIONSHIPS.....	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Background	7-1
7.3	Implementation of Artificial Neural Networks in CALSIM.....	7-2
7.3.1	Flow-Salinity Relationship	7-2
7.3.2	Operational Constraints	7-4
7.3.3	Modeled Locations	7-6
7.3.4	Partial Month Standards.....	7-6
7.4	Limitations	7-7
7.5	Recommendations	7-8
7.6	References.....	7-8
8	AN INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF DELTA CARRIAGE WATER REQUIREMENTS USING A NEW CALSIM FLOW-SALINITY ROUTINE	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Background	8-2
8.2.1	Carriage Water Definitions.....	8-2
8.2.2	Previous Efforts to Model Delta Flow-Salinity Relationships	8-2
8.3	A New CALSIM Routine to Estimate Delta Flow-Salinity Relationships	8-3
8.3.1	Formulation and Implementation	8-3
8.3.2	Validation	8-5
8.3.3	Impact on CALSIM Water Supply Estimates	8-8
8.4	Methodology for Estimating Carriage Water Requirements.....	8-10

8.4.1	Study Assumptions	8-10
8.4.2	Study Mechanics.....	8-10
8.5	Results	8-11
8.6	Discussion	8-19
8.6.1	Significance of Results.....	8-19
8.6.2	Using DSM2 to Quantify Carriage Water Costs.....	8-19
8.6.3	Negotiations with BDMF.....	8-20
8.6.4	Future Refinements.....	8-20
8.7	References	8-20
9	USE OF REPEATING TIDES IN PLANNING RUNS	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Design Repeating Tide	9-1
9.3	Results	9-2
9.4	Recommendations	9-4
10	PLANNING TIDE AT THE MARTINEZ BOUNDARY.....	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Available Data.....	10-1
10.3	Tidal Composition.....	10-2
10.4	Astronomical Estimation.....	10-3
10.5	Residual Tide.....	10-3
10.6	Reconstruction.....	10-4
10.7	Implementation and Discussion.....	10-4
10.8	References.....	10-5
11	IMPROVING ESTIMATES OF SALINITY AT THE MARTINEZ BOUNDARY.....	11-1
11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	G-model Basics	11-2
11.3	Tidal Model	11-4
11.4	Mathematical Formulation	11-7
11.5	Estimation.....	11-10
11.6	Validation and Discussion	11-14
11.7	References.....	11-17
12	DSM2 REAL-TIME FORECASTING SYSTEM	12-1
12.1	Introduction	12-1
12.2	Background	12-2
12.3	Real-Time Modeling Forecast Processes.....	12-4
12.3.1	Raw Data	12-4
12.3.2	Pre-processing.....	12-5
12.3.3	Running DSM2.....	12-13
12.3.4	Post-processing	12-13
12.3.5	On-line Output.....	12-15
12.4	Running Alternative Scenarios.....	12-21
12.5	Conclusions.....	12-23
12.6	Future Directions	12-24
12.7	References.....	12-25

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1:	Summary of Study Assumptions.....	3-1
Table 3-2:	Data Availability at DSM2 Output Locations.....	3-6
Table 4-1:	Location and Dates of Collected ADCP Data.....	4-8
Table 4-2:	PTM Velocity Profile Coefficients.....	4-26
Table 5-1:	Summary of Data Sources for DSM2 Boundary Conditions.....	5-7
Table 5-2:	Description of Current DSM2 Tributary Boundary Conditions.....	5-8
Table 8-1:	Carriage Water Requirements for a 30-TAF Transfer by Month and Water Year Type (values in percent of transfer).....	8-11
Table 8-2:	Carriage Water Requirements for a 60-TAF Transfer by Month and Water Year Type (values in percent of transfer).....	8-11
Table 8-3:	Required Sacramento Flow for September Water Transfers (60 TAF) Over the 73-year Hydrologic Sequence.....	8-16
Table 11-1:	Coefficient Estimates, Standard Error, and Z-Scores (GEE).....	11-12
Table 12-1:	Standard IEP DSS Hydrodynamic DSM2 Real-Time Inputs.....	12-6
Table 12-2:	Standard IEP DSS Water Quality DSM2 Real-Time Boundary Inputs.....	12-7
Table 12-3:	Standard IEP DSS Water Quality DSM2 Real-Time Initial Condition Inputs.....	12-7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1a:	Error Index Calculations for Field / Model Stage Data Comparisons	2-2
Figure 2-1b:	Error Index Equations for Field / Model Stage Data Comparisons.....	2-3
Figure 2-2:	San Joaquin River EC at Jersey Point.....	2-5
Figure 2-3:	Net Delta Outflow for 1992.....	2-7
Figure 3-1:	Monthly Delta Inflow DOC Boundary Conditions	3-3
Figure 3-2:	Monthly Delta Inflow UVA Boundary Conditions.....	3-3
Figure 3-3:	Monthly Agricultural Return Flow DOC Concentrations.....	3-4
Figure 3-4:	DSM2 Output Locations	3-5
Figure 3-5:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Banks Pumping Plant, Clifton Court Forebay, and Contra Costa Pumping Plant #1	3-7
Figure 3-6:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Tracy Pumping Plant, False River at Webb Tract, and Grant Line Canal.....	3-8
Figure 3-7:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Mallard Island, Middle River at Highway 4, and Middle River at Bacon Island	3-9
Figure 3-8:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at North Canal, North Victoria Canal and Woodward Island, and Old River Near DMC	3-10
Figure 3-9:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Old River at Rock Slough, Sandmound Slough, and Santa Fe Railroad at Bacon Island.....	3-11
Figure 3-10:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Jersey Point, Los Vaqueros Reservoir Intake on Old River, and North Bay Aqueduct Intake	3-12
Figure 3-11:	Dissolved Organic Carbon at the Mokelumne River, Rio Vista, and the Middle River at Mowry Bridge	3-13
Figure 3-12:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Banks Pumping Plant, Clifton Court Forebay, and Contra Costa Pumping Plant #1	3-14
Figure 3-13:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Tracy Pumping Plant, False River at Webb Tract, and Grant Line Canal.....	3-15
Figure 3-14:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Mallard Island, Middle River at Highway 4, and Middle River at Bacon Island	3-16
Figure 3-15:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at North Canal, North Victoria Canal and Woodward Island, and Old River Near DMC	3-17
Figure 3-16:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Old River at Rock Slough, Sandmound Slough, and Santa Fe Railroad at Bacon Island	3-18
Figure 3-17:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Jersey Point, Los Vaqueros Reservoir Intake on Old River, and North Bay Aqueduct Intake	3-19
Figure 3-18:	Ultraviolet Absorbance at the Mokelumne River, Rio Vista, and Middle River at Mowry Bridge.....	3-20
Figure 4-1:	DSM2 Schematic of the Sacramento – San Joaquin Delta	4-2
Figure 4-2:	Major Sacramento – San Joaquin Delta Boundary Flows	4-3
Figure 4-3:	Transverse Velocity Profiles	4-6
Figure 4-4:	Vertical Velocity Profiles	4-7
Figure 4-5:	Historical Flow at Turner Cut	4-9
Figure 4-6:	Turner Cut ADCP Profile Data (1:30 PM, April 9, 1997).....	4-9
Figure 4-7:	Historical Flow for SJR between Turner and Columbia Cuts	4-10
Figure 4-8:	SJR between Turner and Columbia Cuts ADCP Profile Data (10:30 PM, April 4, 1997).....	4-10
Figure 4-9:	Location of Tracer Study Data Collection Sites	4-11
Figure 4-10:	Tracer Concentration at Stockton UVM Site.....	4-12
Figure 4-11:	Measured Flow at Stockton UVM Site	4-12
Figure 4-12:	Tracer Concentration at Turner Cut.....	4-13
Figure 4-13:	Measured Flow at Turner Cut	4-13
Figure 4-14:	Tracer Concentration at San Joaquin River near Mandeville Ranch.....	4-13

Figure 4-15:	Tracer Concentration at Middle River near Columbia Cut.....	4-14
Figure 4-16:	Measured Flow at Middle River near Columbia Cut	4-14
Figure 4-17:	Tracer Concentration at Grantline Canal near Tracy Blvd. Bridge	4-14
Figure 4-18:	Measured Stage at Grantline Canal near Tracy Blvd. Bridge.....	4-15
Figure 4-19:	Turner Cut Flow	4-16
Figure 4-20:	Turner Cut Profile – ADCP Comparison (1:30 PM, April 9, 1997).....	4-16
Figure 4-21:	SJR between Columbia and Turner Cuts Flow.....	4-17
Figure 4-22:	SJR between Columbia and Turner Cuts Profile – ADCP Comparison (10:30 PM, April 4, 1997)	4-17
Figure 4-23:	Velocity Profile Differences for a Single Long Channel	4-19
Figure 4-24:	Variance of Longitudinal Displacement for Original Profiles.....	4-20
Figure 4-25:	Effective Longitudinal Dispersion for Original Profiles.....	4-20
Figure 4-26:	Variance of Longitudinal Displacement for Modified Profiles	4-21
Figure 4-27:	Effective Longitudinal Dispersion for Modified Profiles.....	4-21
Figure 4-28:	Stage Boundary Condition for Long Channel	4-22
Figure 4-29:	Stage at Various Locations Influenced by Tidal Boundary Condition.....	4-22
Figure 4-30:	Particle Concentration for Long Channel with Tidal Boundary Condition.....	4-23
Figure 4-31:	Martinez Stage Boundary Condition	4-24
Figure 4-32:	DSM2 and Measured Flow at Turner Cut	4-24
Figure 4-33:	DSM2 and Measured Flow at Jersey Point	4-25
Figure 4-34:	DSM2 and Measured Flow at Old River near Bacon Island	4-25
Figure 4-35:	DSM2 and Measured Flow at Middle River South of Columbia Cut.....	4-25
Figure 4-36:	PTM and Tracer Comparison at Stockton UVM site.....	4-27
Figure 4-37:	PTM and Tracer Comparison at Turner Cut	4-27
Figure 4-38:	PTM and Tracer Comparison on SJR at Mandeville Reach.....	4-28
Figure 4-39:	PTM and Tracer Comparison on Middle River South of Columbia Cut.....	4-29
Figure 4-40:	PTM and Tracer Comparison with No Dispersion at Turner Cut.....	4-30
Figure 4-41:	PTM and Tracer Comparison with Uniform Vertical Velocity Profile at Turner Cut.....	4-31
Figure 4-42:	PTM and Tracer Comparison with Uniform Transverse Velocity Profile at Turner Cut.....	4-32
Figure 5-1:	San Joaquin River.....	5-3
Figure 5-2:	Stage-Area Relationship for Channels 619 to 624	5-5
Figure 5-3:	Bottom Elevation Transition for the Irregular Cross Sections from Channels 619 to 624.....	5-5
Figure 5-4:	Map of Gauging Stations	5-8
Figure 5-5:	Boundaries of Relevant Public Agencies.....	5-10
Figure 5-6:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Stage at NEW.....	5-17
Figure 5-7:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Stage at CLB	5-17
Figure 5-8:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Stage at SJP.....	5-18
Figure 5-9:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Stage at VER.....	5-19
Figure 5-10:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Flow at NEW.....	5-20
Figure 5-11:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Flow at CLB	5-20
Figure 5-12:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Flow at SJP	5-21
Figure 5-13:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Flow at VER.....	5-22
Figure 5-14:	Example of Flood Peak Phase Shift at VER.....	5-22
Figure 5-15:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Salinity at CLB	5-23
Figure 5-16:	DSM2 Pre-Calibration Results for Salinity at VER.....	5-24
Figure 5-17:	DSM2 Calibration Results for Stage at SJP	5-25
Figure 5-18:	DSM2 Calibration Results for Stage at VER.....	5-26
Figure 5-19:	Comparison of Pre-Calibration and Calibration Stage at SJP and VER.....	5-26
Figure 5-20:	DSM2 Calibration Results for Flow at SJP	5-27
Figure 5-21:	DSM2 Calibration Results for Flow at VER	5-27
Figure 5-22:	Comparison of Pre-Calibration and Calibration Flows at SJP and VER.....	5-28
Figure 5-23:	DSM2 Calibration Results for Salinity at VER	5-29

Figure 5-24:	Comparison of Pre-Calibration and Calibration Salinities at VER	5-29
Figure 6-1:	Interaction among Water Quality Constituents	6-2
Figure 6-2:	Temperature Calibration in the San Joaquin River at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1998	6-4
Figure 6-3:	Dissolved Oxygen Calibration at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1998	6-5
Figure 6-4:	Daily Averaged Dissolved Oxygen at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1998	6-6
Figure 6-5:	Temperature Validation at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1999	6-7
Figure 6-6:	Dissolved Oxygen Validation at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1999	6-8
Figure 6-7:	Daily Averaged Dissolved Oxygen at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton, 1999	6-8
Figure 6-8:	Dissolved Oxygen in the San Joaquin River near Fourteen Mile Slough, 1999.	6-9
Figure 6-9:	Dissolved Oxygen in the San Joaquin River near Columbia Cut, 1999	6-9
Figure 7-1:	Salinity Surface Plot: Emmaton (Ex: October 1976) (uS/cm)	7-3
Figure 7-2:	Salinity Contour Plot: Emmaton (Ex: October 1976) (uS/cm).....	7-4
Figure 7-3:	Salinity Contour Plot with Linearization: Old River at Rock Slough (Ex: October 1976) (uS/cm)	7-4
Figure 7-4:	Exponential Averaging Function for Partial Month Salinity Standards	7-7
Figure 8-1:	CALSIM Linear Approximation of ANN Iso-Salinity Contours: Emmaton in October of 1976	8-4
Figure 8-2:	Full-Circle Analysis Schematic.....	8-5
Figure 8-3:	Full-Circle Analysis Time Series Results: Water Years 1976 – 1991	8-6
Figure 8-4:	Full-Circle Analysis Scatter Results: Water Years 1976 – 1991	8-7
Figure 8-5:	Impact of ANN Module on CALSIM Water Supply Estimates.....	8-8
Figure 8-6:	Time Series Comparison of DSM2 Predicted Water Quality from G-Model and ANN: Water Years 1976 – 1991	8-9
Figure 8-7:	Average Sacramento Flow Required for a 30-TAF Transfer by Month and Water Year Type	8-12
Figure 8-8:	Average Sacramento Flow Required for a 60-TAF Transfer by Month and Water Year Type	8-13
Figure 8-9:	Carriage Water Cost Comparison Between a 30-TAF and 60-TAF Transfer	8-15
Figure 8-10:	Distribution of Sacramento River Flow Required to Transfer 30-TAF by Month and Water Year Type	8-17
Figure 8-11:	Distribution of Sacramento River Flow Required to Transfer 60-TAF by Month and Water Year Type	8-18
Figure 9-1:	19-Year Mean Tide and Adjustment	9-2
Figure 9-2:	Comparison of EC for the Sacramento River at Emmaton	9-3
Figure 9-3:	Comparison of EC for the San Joaquin River at Jersey Point.....	9-3
Figure 9-4:	Comparison of EC for the Old River at Bacon Island	9-3
Figure 10-1:	Stage After Application of a Low Pass Tidal Filter	10-2
Figure 10-2:	Comparison of the Planning and Astronomical Residual Tides in 1993.....	10-5
Figure 11-1:	Advection of a Concentration Profile Back and Forth of an Observer	11-5
Figure 11-2:	Advection of a Changing Concentration Profile	11-6
Figure 11-3:	Comparing the Shape of the Stage and EC Tidal Fluctuation.....	11-6
Figure 11-4:	Models of Tidal Displacement. a) The Displacement Model as a Linear Filtration of Stage, b) The Displacement Model as Embedded in the Full Salinity Model.....	11-8
Figure 11-5:	Transfer Function Characteristics of the Filter Relating Concentration Profile Displacement $x'(t)$ to Water Surface Height. Estimate Using GEE with Robust Gamma Distribution and Log Link.....	11-13

Figure 11-6:	Transfer Function Characteristics of the Filter Relating Concentration Profile Displacement $x'(t)$ to Water Surface Height. Estimate Using GLM with Robust Gamma Distribution and Log Link.....	11-13
Figure 11-7:	Validation Estimates for the Years 1993 – 1994.....	11-15
Figure 11-8:	Model Performance Over a Two-Week Period	11-15
Figure 11-9:	Comparing Low-Passed Martinez Stage (Filling and Draining) to Low-Passed Squared Tide (a Measure of Tidal Energy).....	11-17
Figure 12-1:	Flow Chart of Numerical Modeling Forecast System.....	12-4
Figure 12-2:	VPlotter DSS Data Retrieval GUI.....	12-5
Figure 12-3:	Retrieving Multiple Time Series for Same Location.....	12-6
Figure 12-4:	Martinez Stage Verification	12-8
Figure 12-5:	Example of Filling In Historical Flow Data (Without Tidal Influence)	12-9
Figure 12-6:	Example of Filling In Historical Flow Data (With Tidal Influence)	12-9
Figure 12-7:	MS Access Forecast Form.....	12-11
Figure 12-8:	Martinez EC Verification	12-12
Figure 12-9:	Filling in Rim Boundary EC	12-12
Figure 12-10:	Comparison of Modeled Flow versus Observed Flow at Freeport	12-14
Figure 12-11:	Comparison of Modeled Stage versus Observed Stage at Antioch	12-14
Figure 12-12:	DSM2 Real-Time Forecast Results Web Page	12-16
Figure 12-13:	Interactive Delta Map to View HYDRO and QUAL Forecast Results	12-17
Figure 12-14:	Viewing Forecast Input Results on Interactive Delta Map	12-18
Figure 12-15:	Selecting HYDRO and QUAL Results from Interactive Delta Map.....	12-18
Figure 12-16:	Static PTM Forecast Results	12-20
Figure 12-17:	PTM Dual Animator.....	12-21
Figure 12-18:	Comparing Scenarios When Using On-line Results	12-22